

Pressure ulcers in maturing skin – A clinical perspective



Anna-Barbara Schlüer^{a, b, *}

^a Department of Nursing Development and Science, Children's University Hospital Zurich, Switzerland

^b Children's Research Center, Children's University Hospital Zurich, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 November 2015

Received in revised form

30 July 2016

Accepted 4 October 2016

Keywords:

Pressure ulcer

Pediatric nursing

Pediatric critical care

ABSTRACT

While the problem of Pressure Ulcers (PU) in adults has received a great deal of attention, far less is known about PUs in neonates and children. The overall health status of children is generally better and multi-morbidity is limited to a small percentage of patients, like very low term neonates (born before 32 weeks of gestation age), newborns with congenital abnormalities, genetic disorders, perinatal distress syndrome or children with a limited immunity. Survival rates of both critically and chronically ill neonates, infants and children have improved dramatically in recent years, introducing new challenges for medical and nursing care. Children's skin undergoes several changes throughout the first 18 years of life. The most important function of the skin is to protect against water loss, absorptions of noxious substances, intrusions of microorganisms and physical trauma. Effective PU prevention includes device related under-padding and careful positioning and fixation of such devices. At least regular head-to-toe-skin assessment of neonates and infants at risk of PUs should be performed.

© 2016 Tissue Viability Society. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Pressure ulcers (PUs) are a common and highly relevant professional care issue in hospitals. They are associated with psychological and physical suffering, increased morbidity and mortality rate and higher costs for health care worldwide [1–3]. PU development can be attributed to multiple factors that are fairly well-studied in adults and elderly people [1,4–6]. Through large-scale, nationwide epidemiological studies, the prevalence of PUs in medical care institutions for adults is now known and well documented.

While the problem of PUs in adults has received a great deal of attention, far less is known about PUs in neonates and children [7]. Recent studies have indicated that PUs are also common in the pediatric population, and in the last ten years greater attention has been paid to this problem. There is greater awareness that pediatric patients in certain health care settings are also at high risk of developing PUs [8,9]. Prevalence rates for PUs in hospitalized pediatric patients range from 3% to 35% [8,10]. An overview of pediatric pressure ulcer rates is given by Kottner et al. [8].

2. The pediatric patient and its challenges

“The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child defines a child as “a human being below the age of 18 years”. Within this time period one distinguishes between neonate, infants, toddlers, preschool child, school child and adolescent [11]. A neonate is defined as a child from birth up until its first 30 days of life. This includes preterm neonates, which means neonates born before 38 weeks of gestational age. Children born after 37 weeks of gestational age are categorized as term-born neonates. A newborn is a neonate within his first hours of life. An infant is a child in the time period from the age of 4 weeks up to its first birthday, followed by toddlers, which are children from the age of 1 up to their third birthday. A preschool child is between 3 and 5 years old, a school child between 6 and 12 years of age; adolescence covers the time from 12 up to the 18th birthday [12,13]. It becomes clear that there is not one pediatric patient—it is a small (both in numbers and sizes) and very heterogenic population.

It should be kept in mind that pediatric patients, in comparison to adults, are in widely differing health conditions. The overall health status of children is generally better and multi-morbidity is limited to a small percentage of patients, like very low term neonates (born before 32 weeks of gestation age), newborns with congenital abnormalities, genetic disorders, perinatal distress syndrome or children with a limited immunity. Survival rates of both critically and chronically ill neonates, infants and children

* Children's University Hospital Zurich, Steinwiesstrasse 75, CH-8032 Zurich, Switzerland.

E-mail address: Barbara.schlueer@kispi.uzh.ch.

have improved dramatically in recent years, introducing new challenges for medical and nursing care. Furthermore, new devices, Extra-Corporal-Membran-Oxygenation (ECMO), long lasting surgical procedures (LLSP) and advanced therapies in critical areas of admittance requires much more attention in order to prevent pressure-related ulcers [10,13].

3. The skin in pediatrics: from fetus to newborn

Children's skin undergoes several changes throughout the first 18 years of life [14]. The most important function of the skin is to protect against water loss, absorptions of noxious substances, intrusions of microorganisms and physical trauma [14]. The skin of children is morphologically and functionally different from adult skin [14–16]. Within the first days of life neonates undergo various adaptation processes needed to accommodate the transition from the wet intrauterine environment to the dry outside environment [14]. During the first months and years the skin continues to develop and evolve its structure and functions (Table 1).

The unique physiological needs of children with regard to skin first require some explanation. Physiologically, fluid and electrolyte disturbances occur more frequently and develop more rapidly in infants and young children than in older children and adults. The higher proportion of water content and greater relative surface area of young bodies increases the risk of dehydration under the metabolic demands associated with fever. Skin cells that are not well perfused may be hypoxic and are at risk of breaking down even with minimal trauma [17].

It is known that any skin breakdown (like epidermal stripping and skin tears due to friction or tapes), especially in critically ill neonates and infants, increases the risk of septicemia as well as related severe complications and higher mortality [18]. Pressure ulcers also cause an increase in pain, infection and calorie expenditure in pediatric patients [19–21] and therefore it is of great importance to avoid any damage to the fragile skin of pediatric patients.

According to the guidelines of NPUAP and EPUAP (National and European Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panels), PUs are differentiated into four different categories, with category one being the least severe, and defined as “intact skin with non-blanchable redness of a localized area usually over a bony prominence” and category four the worst, being defined as “full thickness tissue loss with exposed bone, tendon or muscle” [22]. These EPUAP/NPUAP categories are also used frequently in pediatric settings [8,19,23]. With regard to the different aspects affecting pediatric skin development (Tables 1 and 2) the etiology of pediatric pressure ulcers and whether there are any differences in classifying PUs in pediatric patients according to adult categories has not been studied so far and is thus unknown.

The tissue tolerance of a person is an intermediate variable and not a causal factor in the development of PUs. How high the

pressure must be and how long it must be maintained to cause skin damage depends on the individual's tissue tolerance and other influencing factors [24]. Immobility, pressure ulcer and skin status, perfusion, metabolic condition, skin moisture, sensory perception and nutrition of the patient, body temperature and co-morbidity are relevant determinants which influence tissue tolerance [24–26]. In pediatric patients skin breakdown is a common topic and it is not the same as a pressure ulcer. Maintaining skin integrity in pediatric patients is difficult because of patients vulnerability, acuity and the highly invasive interventions and therapies they receive.

4. Pediatric specific pressure ulcer risk

Potential risk factors for PUs are well known and described for the adult population. There is some evidence that immobility and decreased skin sensitivity [6,27–31] in pediatric patients increase the risk of pressure ulcer development as well [27,28]. With regard to the pediatric patient, sick children in general, but also due to limited communication skills, neonates, infants and toddlers, disabled and neurologically impaired children, seem to be at particular risk of developing pressure ulcers [18,19,28].

Further, several risk factors with regard to external devices are known for pediatric patients. The consequences of immobility and decreased skin sensitivity and risk factors related to equipment such as tubes, IV catheterization and CPAP have been described [10,19,28]. Especially patients in pediatric intensive care units (PICU) and neonates are at increased risk for skin failure. PICU dependent children have due to their general condition a quick and sudden change in shape and form of body sites (like for ex face). The children's body sites change due to increased fluid intake, edema or several medication. External devices (for.ex CPAP masks) which affect the skin often fail to adapt to these rapid changes adequate. Further the pressure of tubes in oscillation and ECMO as well as the decreased tissue tolerance in these patients due to their critical condition makes these children most vulnerable [10,19,32]. Medical devices on the skin are the predominant risk factor for PU occurrence in pediatric patients [19,28,33]. With regard to neonates and infants, in whom mechanical ventilation support devices have shown to be the major risk factor, this was also reported in the studies of Schindler et al. (2007), Curley et al. (2003) and Boesch et al. (2012), Schliier, (2013) [10,19,32,34].

The need for additional medical and therapeutic aids, such as wheelchairs, unadjusted orthoses and prostheses [20,21] are known risk factors for pressure ulcer development in children as well [13]. A problem limited to neonates is their immature skin with regard to the friable skin and circulatory system, which leads to extravasation, or skin failure due to strapping or tubing or monitoring sensors.

Younger age can also be considered as major PU risk factor in

Table 1

Skin features in neonatal age [14–16].

Underdevelopment of subcutaneous fat tissue
Less of cohesion between epidermis and dermis
Dermal instability
Alkaline skin surface (skin surface pH > 7.4)
Transition from wet intrauterine to a dry extra uterine environment with increased Trans Epidermal Water Loss (TEWL) in neonatalperiod
Fat, Zincum and metallic deficiencies
Increased risk for skin traumas (shearing and friction forces like epidermal stripping and skin tears, neonatal dermatitis)
Reduced insulation and loss of surface temperature
Reduced secretions and sebum production
Infant corneocytes and granular layer keratinocytes are smaller due to high cell turnover rates
Delayed full functioning of melanocytes*
Intensity of pressure: capillaries collapse at 23 mmHg

Table 2
Common localizations of pressure ulcers in pediatric patients (0–18 years) [10,19,35].

Along medical devices (>50%)
Feet especially heels/calcanus
Face (especially nose) most common site in patients under 1 year of age
Hands
Occiput (highly prevalent in PICU setting in patients with critical life condition and under mechanical ventilation)
Sacrum (most common in older patients (older than 8 years) and with chronic conditions)
Shoulders and Toes

pediatric patients [10,19,32,34]. Due to their developmental status, young pediatric patients (under the age of five years) are, unable to differentiate pressure from other sensory perceptions of such devices properly and therefore are most vulnerable.

Regarding the localization of PUs, the feet and nose are the most commonly affected areas in pediatric patients [10]. The feet, especially ankles or heels and toes, were also frequently affected areas in the study of Curley and colleagues (2003) [19], as were the occiput and ear (Table 2). A possible explanation for this is that in neonates and infants monitoring devices like oxygenation sensors and IV catheters are fixed to the feet of the child [10,35].

PUs in the area of the nose are often caused by a nasogastric feeding tube, ventilation tube or by a CPAP mask with prongs [35]. In those cases, the prolonged pressure of the device induce an erosion of the skin and a subsequent damage of the hyaline cartilage of the nose.

5. Pediatric PU risk assessment tools

With regard to the risk factors for pediatric patients, medical devices, especially mechanical ventilation support devices, a PICU stay, younger age and limited nutrition and activity were the major risk factors [35]. In the development of risk assessment tools for the pediatric population, the risk factor “devices on the skin” has only become part of such scales since 1998 [36]. PICU stay and younger age as risk factors are not part of a pediatric risk assessment scale. Risk assessment tools fail to sense rapid changes as described in young pediatric patient due to critical care completely (changes of body sites due to edema, increased fluid intake etc.). We conclude

that the use of risk assessment scales in pediatric health care should focus specifically on external medical devices and on limited mobility and activity. Risk assessment scales for adults are not precise enough to cover all relevant pediatric risk factors. According to clinical expertise in the field of PU development in children, it is more reliable to focus on different pediatric risk populations, and also to assess device related factors contributing to the development of PUs.

6. Prevention of pressure ulcers

Effective prevention should always be driven by an individual risk assessment for the pediatric specific risk for each patient and should be performed standardized and regularly. Total skin assessment, repositioning and skin care [12] are important preventive measures [35]. With regard to different skin conditions in different age groups, like the immature skin of neonates (especially preterm neonates), regular head to toe skin assessments and appropriate skin care seem important as preventive measures to decrease PU risk in pediatric patients. The use of pressure reduced surfaces is not very common in pediatric patients. Devices especially adapted to pediatric needs, like small sizes for lower weight, are not widely available [37,38]. The most important prevention to device related PUs is careful underpadding of these devices in any situation. Examples are shown in Fig. 1–4.

7. Implications for clinical practice

Pediatric patients are vulnerable to PU development. It is vital




Fig. 1–4. Examples of pediatric specific pressure ulcer prevention are the underpadding of any medical devices.

that pediatric health care staff are trained to recognize the early stages of pressure ulcers. Category 1 PUs are a major nursing care issue in neonates and infants, and require appropriate preventive measures to avoid any further harm to the vulnerable skin. Ventilation support devices greatly increase the risk of PUs in neonates and infants. Effective PU prevention includes device related underpadding and careful positioning and fixation and monitoring of such devices. At least daily head-to-toe-skin assessment of neonates and infants at risk of PUs should be performed. Monitoring and regular repositioning of any monitoring sensors and cables should be conducted as well. Special attention should be paid to the fact that repositioning of the pediatric patient must be weighed against the stress that such an intervention can cause, especially in low- and very low-term neonates and critically ill infants.

This paper shows that the risk factors, the anatomical localizations of PUs as well as the risk of PUs due to external devices differ from those in an adult population. Therefore, specialized preventive interventions based on the specific needs of the pediatric population are mandatory, including a careful assessment of younger patients (under the age of five years) with regard to their inability to distinguish and sense pressure on the skin adequately.

Funding

No funding.

Conflict of interest

None. This paper has not been previously presented in any form.

References

- [1] Baharestani MM, Black JM, Carville K, Clark M, Cuddigan JE, Dealey C, et al. Dilemmas in measuring and using pressure ulcer prevalence and incidence: an international consensus. *Int Wound J* 2009a;6:97–104.
- [2] Gorecki C, Brown JM, Nelson EA, Briggs M, Schoonhoven L, Dealey C, et al. Impact of pressure ulcers on quality of life in older patients: a systematic review. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2009;57:1175–83.
- [3] Allmann RM, Goode PS, Burst N, Bartolucci AA, Thomas DR. Pressure ulcers, hospitals complications and disease severity: impact on hospital costs and length of stay. *Adv Wound Care* 1999;12:22–30.
- [4] Whittington KT, Briones R. National Prevalence and Incidence Study: 6-year sequential acute care data. *Adv Skin Wound Care* 2004;17:490–4.
- [5] Horn SD, Bender SA, Ferguson ML, Smout RJ, Bergstrom N, Taler G, et al. The National Pressure Ulcer Long-Term Care Study: pressure ulcer development in long-term care residents. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2004;52:359–67.
- [6] DeLaat E, Schoonhoven L, Pickkers P, Verbeek A, Van Achterberg T. Epidemiology, risk and prevention of pressure ulcers in critically ill patients: a literature review. *J Wound Care* 2006;15:269–75.
- [7] Baharestani MM, Pope E. Chronic wounds in neonates and children. In: Krasner D, Rodeheaver G, Sibbald G, editors. *Chronic wound care*. Malvern, PA: HMP Communications; 2007. p. 679–93.
- [8] Kottner J, Wilborn D, Dassen T. Frequency of pressure ulcers in the pediatric population: a literature review and new empirical data. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2010;47:1330–40.
- [9] Noonan C, Quigley S, Curley MA. Using the braden Q scale to predict pressure ulcer risk in pediatric patients. *J Pediatr Nurs* 2011;26:566–75.
- [10] Schliuer AB, Halfens RJ, Schols JMGA. Pediatric pressure ulcer prevalence: a multicenter, cross-sectional, point prevalence study in Switzerland. *Ostomy Wound Manag* 2012;58:18–31.
- [11] United Nations (UN) General assembly. Convention on the Rights of the child. Document A/RES/44/25. 1989. available at: http://www.hakani.org/en/convention/Convention_Rights_Child.pdf [Accessed 30 May 2013].
- [12] American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP). *Newborns, infants, and toddlers*. 2002. <http://patiented.aap.org/categoryBrowse.aspx?catID=5001> [Accessed 24 October 2015].
- [13] (a) Ciprandi G. Preventing paediatric pressure ulcers. *Pharm Ther* 2012;2:1–4. www.hospitalhealthcare.com/hhe.
- [14] Butler CT. Pediatric skin care: guidelines for assessment, prevention and treatment. *Dermatol Nurs* 2007;19:471–86.
- [15] Blume-Peytavi U, Hauser M, Stamatatos GN, Pathirana D, Garcia Bartels N. Skin care practices for newborn and infants: review of the clinical evidence for best practices. *Pediatr Dermatol* 2012;29:1–14.
- [16] (a) Nikolovskij, Stamatatos GN, Kollias N, Wiegand BC. Barrier function and water-holding and transport properties of infant stratum corneum are different from adult and continue to develop through the first year of life. *J Invest Dermatol* 2008;128:1728–36.
- [17] Rossi E. *Pädiatrie*. 3rd edition. Stuttgart; New York: Thieme; 1996.
- [18] Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Rhodes A, Annane D, Gerlach H, Opal SM, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock. 2012. *Intensive Care Med* 2013;39:165–228.
- [19] (a) Curley MA, Quigley SM, Lin M. Pressure ulcers in pediatric intensive care: incidence and associated factors. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2003a;4:284–90.
- [20] Samaniego IA. A sore spot in pediatrics: risk factors for pressure ulcers. *Pediatr Nurs* 2003;29:278–82.
- [21] Cockett A. A research review to identify the factors contributing to the development of pressure ulcers in pediatric patients. *J Tissue Viability* 2001;12:16–23.
- [22] National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel (NPUAP) and European Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel (EPUAP). *Prevention and treatment of pressure ulcers: clinical practice guideline*. Washington DC: National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel; 2009.
- [23] Baharestani MM, Ratliff CR. Pressure ulcers in neonates and children: an NPUAP white paper. *Adv Skin Wound Care* 2007;20:208–20.
- [24] Coleman S, Nelson EA, Keen J, Wilson L, McGinnis E, Dealey C, et al. Developing a pressure ulcer risk factor minimum data set and risk assessment framework. *J Adv Nurs* 2014;70:2339–52. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jan.12444>.
- [25] Nixon J, Cranny G, Bond S. Skin alterations of intact skin and risk factors associated with pressure ulcer development in surgical patients: a cohort study. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2007;44:655–63.
- [26] Quintavalle PR, Lyder CH, Mertz PJ, Phillips-Jones C, Dyson M. Use of high-resolution, high-frequency diagnostic ultrasound to investigate the pathogenesis of pressure ulcer development. *Adv Skin Wound Care* 2006;19:498–505.
- [27] Suddaby EC, Barnett S, Facticeau L. Skin breakdown in acute care pediatrics. *Pediatr Nurs* 2005;31:132–138 & 148.
- [28] Willock J, Askew C, Bolland R, Maciver H, James N. Multicenter research: lessons from the field. *Pediatr Nurs* 2005;17:31–3.
- [29] Lindgren M, Unosson M, Fredrikson M, Ek AC. Immobility—a major risk factor for development of pressure ulcers among adult hospitalized patients: a prospective study. *Scand J Caring Sci* 2004;18:57–64.
- [30] Nonnemacher M, Stausberg J, Bartoszek G, Lottko B, Neuhaeuser M, Maier I. Predicting pressure ulcer risk: a multifactorial approach to assess risk factors in a large university hospital population. *J Clin Nurs* 2009;18:99–107.
- [31] Papanikolaou P, Lyne P, Ratcliffe J. Using the discrete choice experimental design to investigate decision-making about pressure ulcer prevention by community nurses. *Health Soc Care Community* 2007;15:588–98.
- [32] Schindler CA, Mikhailov TA, Fischer K, Lukasiewicz G, Kuhn EM, Duncan L. Skin integrity in critically ill and injured children. *Am J Crit Care* 2007;16:568–74.
- [33] Escher Neidig JR, Kleiber C, Oppliger RA. Risk factors associated with pressure ulcers in the Pediatric Patient following open-heart surgery. *Prog Cardiovasc Nurs* 1989;4:99–106.
- [34] Boesch RP, Myers C, Garrett T, Nie A, Thomas N, Chima A, et al. Prevention of tracheostomy-related pressure ulcers in children. *Pediatrics* 2012;129:e792–7.
- [35] Schliuer AB, Schols JMGA, Halfens RJ. Risk and associated factors of pressure ulcers in hospitalized children over 1 year of age. *J Spec Pediatr Nurs* 2013;19(1):80–9.
- [36] Kottner J, Hauss A, Schliuer AB, Dassen T. Validation and clinical impact of pediatric pressure ulcer risk assessment scales: a systematic review. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2013;50:807–18.
- [37] Parnham A. Pressure ulcer risk assessment and prevention in children. *Nurs Child Young People* 2012;24:24–9.
- [38] Law J. Transair Paediatric Mattress replacement system evaluation. *Br J Nurs* 2002;11:343–6.