

A Multi-Agent Based Technique for Fault Location, Isolation and Service Restoration

Hany. F. Habib, Tarek Youssef, Mehmet. H. Cintuglu, *Student members, IEEE*,
and Osama Mohammed, *Fellow, IEEE*

Abstract— This paper proposes a communication-assisted fault localization, isolation and restoration method for microgrids based on a multiagent system (MAS). The proposed system comprises distributed agents, located in the middle and at the two ends of a protection section, which will detect a fault through phase angle comparison of current signals at both sides of a given distribution line. The agents then send trips signal to corresponding circuit breakers accordingly. The importance of the proposed protection technique is twofold: first, it eliminates the use of voltage transformers and thus reduces costs. Second, it does not require transfer of data along long distances which decreases the delay time for fault isolation. Power restoration processes following the fault clearance considering voltage, frequency and power flow constraints in the microgrid under study was also performed. Simulation of the proposed protection methodology was presented followed by experimental verification. The experimental results showed excellent agreement with the simulated protection scheme.

Index Terms— Protection, Microgrid, Multi Agent Systems (MAS), Information and communication technology, Synchrophasor.

I. INTRODUCTION

Communication-assisted microgrid protection schemes are becoming an important research area due to the complex and changing architecture of the contemporary microgrid resulting from dynamically connecting and disconnecting different types of distributed generators (DGs) and loads [1]. Thus, it is important to find a suitable communication-assisted protection method between transducers and agents in order to obtain updated fault current values and increase the reliability of the system.

In [2] the authors introduced modeling of a relay and different types of DGs that can be used in a microgrid network. This system can be used to monitor a microgrid over communication lines and react to dynamic changes of the grid. While such a diverse deployment of microgrids provide important advantages, they possess key challenges as well. Such systems depend on a microgrid central protection unit (MCPU) that features complex communication unit due to the different signals from many components in the network, in order to determine the relay that must operate to isolate the fault section from the network.

The authors in [3]-[4] proposes a protection technique to improve the coordination process of the relay. The relay communicates with DGs and equipment agents in order to obtain a successful coordination. The system was tested by applying it to an agent-based JADE platform.

This work was partially supported by grants for the US Department of Energy and the Office of Naval Research. The authors are with the Energy Systems Research Laboratory, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Florida International University, Miami, FL 33174 (e-mail: mohammed@fiu.edu).

Multi-agent technology is a powerful new technique for use in many distributed protection systems due to its autonomous, cooperative, and proactive nature. One is based on the use of regional central control [5]-[7]; the second uses a regional decentralized peer-to-peer negotiating model in which there are no control centers [8]. This work advocates the regional decentralized negotiation approach implemented using agent groups in microgrid.

The conventional schemes that are used to protect transmission line face a lot of challenges. The traditional protection techniques use the phasor components of voltage and current to trip circuit breakers [9]. However, these techniques can be affected by the problems of fault resistance and short circuit lines [10].

Current differential line protection schemes have been widely used for detecting and isolating fault sections [11]. However, the operation of these algorithms is based on identifying the vector difference value between the measured currents at both sides of a transmission line. This requires high communication channels bandwidth and thus increases the cost of communication channels [12]. Also, with the high penetration of distributed generations that are connected through power converters, the short circuit current at different nodes of the microgrid will be close to the nominal current, this leads to a significant source of error for conventional overcurrent protection. Therefore, a malfunction of these algorithms based on current magnitude measurements is expected to happen due to the difficult task of detecting the branch under fault [13-16].

The literature shows that the phase differential protection method serves as a better option for transmission line protection due to its simplicity, sensitivity, selectivity and comprehensibility [17]-[18]. The work in [9] proposes a pilot protection scheme based on the phase jump measured in the current waveform during fault conditions. At each node, the phase jump is registered and used locally to detect changes in the current direction. The change in current direction is determined by the difference between the pre-fault and fault current phase angles. The channel requirement and cost of communication equipment can be reduced by considering the phase angle comparison of the positive sequence component of line currents. This leads to an increase in sensitivity and security of the relay or the combination of positive and negative sequence components to give robust discrimination to all types of faults. However, these techniques cannot determine the faulty phase [19].

Complementary to protection, self-healing schemes have been studied in distribution networks. In [20], the authors proposed a technique that used a decentralized management and control scheme for distributed microgrids by multi agents system (MAS) to achieve resilient self-healing and allowing microgrid agents to successfully transition from normal opera-

tions to an emergency condition and back again when conditions have resolved the power systems. The work in [21] presented a fast fault location, isolation and service restoration (FLISR) algorithm which can significantly reduce outage time at the customers' end.

A significant disadvantage to the formal is that they are centralized approaches and depend on a huge amount of data exchange requiring high communication capabilities and exhaustive computation powers to accomplish power restoration.

Accordingly, this paper presents a distributed protection technique to locate and determine fault types on distribution lines which addresses the shortcomings of centralized and resource intensive communication requirements in the surveyed literature. The main idea behind this work is that the phase angle of the currents of each of the three phases at both terminals of each section in the network is measured using Phasor Measurement Units (PMU) and is communicated to Section Agents (SA) that located in the middle of each section. SAs then calculate the phase angle differences and send trip signal to circuit breakers (CBs) that are connected at the two ends of the distribution line in case of abnormal operation.

It can be noted here that not all PMUs measure the vector of voltage and current. Some types of PMUs like FNET (Frequency monitoring Network) measures only the voltage component [22]. The FNET/Grid Eye system is currently operated by the Power Information Technology Laboratory at the University of Tennessee (UTK) in Knoxville, TN and Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) in Oak Ridge, TN. It is possible to design a PMU that only measures the current signal. This means eliminating the voltage measurement which would allow for a low cost PMU.

The advantages of the proposed method are:

- The sole dependency on current measurements thus reducing overall costs by eliminating the use of the voltage transducers;
- Current differential protection using pilot scheme is applied widely on distributed lines as the main protection. Vector difference between the measured currents at the two ends of the transmission line is used for the operation of most current differential relays [12]. The length of the line that can be protected by the pilot wire differential protection is limited by the effect of resistance and capacitance of the pilot wire [23]. The proposed technique presented the phase angle of the current signals to be exchanged between the middle and two ends of the distributed line. This means that the communication channels are reduced from 12 to only 6 channels, which reflects on the cost of the protection technique. Also, transferring the data to the middle of the transmission line would limit the effect of resistance and capacitance of the wire;
- Minimized communication delays for fault isolation as this technique depends on transferring data between two ends of the distribution line and a SA located at the middle length of the line;
- Stable phase comparison techniques by the use of numerical relays and time synchronized measurements which are communicated to relays (synchronization needs to be implement

only on SA instead of on the two relays at both sides of each section);

- Protective devices of microgrid are not expected to trip the healthy phases during unbalanced short-circuit. Thus, some utilities and relay manufactures have started contemplating single and double pole tripping for distribution systems [24]. This scheme is able to distinguish the faulty phase reliability and significantly improves the speed of relaying without sacrificing security and enhance the stability;
- Power restoration process: As a result of isolating the fault, some DGs will disconnect from the network and cause loss of power to some loads. In order to maintain the reliability of the system, a power sharing operation must be applied to feed these loads through the communications between MAS without the need of supervision from a central point.

II. MICROGRID CONFIGURATION AND MAS FRAMEWORK

The microgrid under study is shown in Fig. 1. The system consists of two circuits each having 4-nodes and 2 DGs. DG1 is a 13.8 KVA, 208 V, 60 HZ, and the others are modeled with ratings of 10 KVA, 208 V, 60 HZ. The four loads have a 14.5 Ampere current ratings. Each circuit has one Restoration Agent (RA) and comprises three sections having one SA, two PMUs and two circuit breakers (CB). CB is connected between the two circuits and is normally closed to satisfy the synchronization conditions between the generators and enable power flow among the circuits in case of abnormal operation at any section in the system.

A MAS is defined as a collection of autonomous computational entities (agents), which can be effective in broad applications performing tasks based on goals in an environment that can be difficult to define analytically [25]. Agents are high-level autonomous software abstractions. MAS are distributed and coupled networks of intelligent software agents working in coordination for a global goal. The focus of this paper will be on protection of distributed line and restoration of the system using a multi-agent framework. Four types of agents can be used in this paper: Load Agents (LA), Section Agents (SA), Restoration Agents (RA) and Generator Agents (GA). A description of the communication between the agents of the system can be shown as follow:-

Load Agent (LA): Determine the parameters of voltage, current, frequency, active and reactive power of the connected loads and transfers these values to other agents to restore the power in case of applying fault in the network.

Section Agent (SA): This agent is located in the middle length of each section. According to the phase angle comparison of currents between both sides of the section, it will send a trip signal to the circuit breakers and isolate the fault section.

Restoration Agent (RA): Operates as a manager of the circuit and can communicates with SAs in the same circuit in order to verify the connection and disconnection areas in the circuit and communicates with other RAs in another circuits to restore the power for the loads.




Fig.1 The suggested microgrid configuration

Generator Agents (GA): Communicates with RA and determine different parameters of the generator (active and reactive power) to verify the ability to feed the loads in case of applying fault in the system.

Fig. 2 shows the operation of the proposed protection technique. A description of the steps that follow for the protection scheme of the system are as follows:-

Step-I. At normal operation, the current flows in the same direction at both nodes I and j of the distributed line. After the fault occurred, the current reverses its direction at one side to feed the fault. PMUs at both ends measures the phase angle current signals and forward these values to SA that located at the middle of the protected section.

Step-II. SA receives the data from each side and determine the difference between the phase angles at both ends.

Step-III. If the difference exceeds the threshold value, then SA sends a trip signal to the circuit breakers at both sides of the distributed line.

Fig. 3 shows the operation of the restoration process. A description of the steps that follow for the restoration are as follows:-

Step-I. After isolating the fault section from the system as explained in the previous part, LA receives the values of active and reactive power of the load and forward these values to RA.

Step-II. GA receives the amount of capacity of the generator (P_{DG} , Q_{DG}) and forward these values to RA.

Step-III. RA determine the required power to feed the loads of the system after isolating the fault and send a message to the generators to supply the loads.




Fig. 2 Operation of the proposed protection scheme




Fig. 3 Operation of the proposed restoration process

III. PROPOSED FAULT LOCALIZATION METHOD

The three phase current angle signals at the sending and receiving terminals of each section are measured using PMUs. These measurements are forwarded to SAs. The current at each node is passed to the PMUs at each side of the protected section to obtain the current phase angles (φ^a , φ^b , φ^c). At each terminal, the current phase angle (φ^a) is shifted by 120° from the current phase angle (φ^b) and 240° from the current phase angle (φ^c). For both sides of the section, the phase angle of each terminal (φ^a , φ^b , φ^c) is compared to the phase angle of the other terminal ($\varphi^{a'}$, $\varphi^{b'}$, $\varphi^{c'}$), respectively.

The difference between the phases can be determined as follows:

$$\Delta\varphi^{a,b,c} = \sum_{j=1} [\varphi^{a,b,c}(j) - \varphi^{a',b',c'}(j)] \quad (1)$$

where,

- $\Delta\varphi^{a,b,c}$ phase angle difference between the starting and ending terminals of each section;
- j index;
- $\varphi^{a,b,c}(j)$ phase angle current of phases A, B and C at the sending terminal;
- $\varphi^{a',b',c'}(j)$ phase angle current of phases A, B and C at the receiving terminal.

According to the difference $\Delta\varphi^{a,b,c}$, the location and type of the fault can be determined.

For normal operation:

$$\Delta\varphi^{a,b,c} \approx \Delta\varphi_{pre}^{a,b,c} \quad (2)$$

For abnormal operation:

$$\Delta\varphi^{a,b,c} \gg \Delta\varphi_{pre}^{a,b,c} \quad (3)$$

where “pre” refers to the values in the previous cycle.

The SA of the fault section send trip signals to both circuit breakers that are located at both sides of this section to isolate the fault from the system.

Ideally every difference other than the angle that permits to flow the power between the two sides of the distributed line indicates a fault.

However, even in the absence of an internal fault, the operating point may deviate from due to modeling assumptions and measurement errors. Extensive simulations are performed in Matlab with different types of fault to decide the restrain angle setting of ± 10 .

At normal operation the flow of the current should be in one direction, while in the fault condition the current reverse the direction at one side of the distributed line.

To obtain a robust threshold criterion, current phase difference jumps of at least ± 20 is considered to be due to changes in the current direction. This threshold permits to detect accurately the faults.

This threshold value ensure that the relay is always stable for external faults without affecting its performance on internal faults. It is important to consider that in those nodes where current phase jump does not exceed the threshold setting means that the contribution to fault current has the same direction than in pre-fault conditions.

If any side of the distributed line has detected a current direction change then the fault is located within the protected branch, and a trip signal is transmitted to both nodes by SA of this section.

IV. PROPOSED RESTORATION METHOD

Power restoration is defined as the capability of a system to automatically detect and recover functionality when faced with a single or multiple events. For a power system, this definition is somewhat refined to include the rapid identification of problems, actions to minimize any adverse impacts from casualties, and the prompt recovery of the system to a stable operating state. During restoration, a series of reconfigurations may take place to improve the overall system condition involving breaker manipulations and generation startup or shutdown. Two factors appear to have influenced the recent research direction. First, conglomerating communication, sensory, and control functions in a central manner allows decisions to be made with a global perspective. While it is cumbersome to centralize these functions, this facilitates are the most complete information for decision-making. The second factor that may have discouraged development of capable distributed multi-agent systems is their difficulty. However, decentralizing decision-making has unique attributes that make it attractive from a resiliency perspective; chiefly because it can avoid the scenario where corruption or failure of the central supervisory node leads to total system collapse [26]. For any system, this definition is somewhat refined to include some constraints in terms of keeping the system stable following the restoration process. The following section explains these constraints with the suggested algorithm to restore the power for the connected loads using MAS.

Mathematically, the restoration problem is formulated as an objective function satisfying the system constraints as indicated in the following conditions:

- Power limit

$$P_{DG} \geq P_{Load} + P_{Loss} \quad (4)$$

$$Q_{DG} \geq Q_{Load} + Q_{Loss} \quad (5)$$

where P_{DG} and Q_{DG} are the generated active and reactive power of the DGs within the microgrid, P_{Load} and Q_{Load} are the load active and reactive power, and P_{Loss} and Q_{Loss} are the active and reactive power losses in lines. As shown in equations 4 and 5, generated active and reactive power must supply demand power [27].

- For power line current limits, the maximum current capacity of lines must be lower to prevent overloading [28].

$$I_i \leq I_{max} \quad (6)$$

where I (current) is the load current at node i

- Voltage limit

The system must always be within the allowed limits [29].

$$V_{min} \leq V_i \leq V_{max} \quad (7)$$

- Frequency limit

The system frequency must always be within the allowed Limits [30].

$$f_{min} \leq f \leq f_{max} \quad (8)$$

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

A. Fault Location, Identification and Isolation Case Study

A single line to ground fault is simulated on phase A, through a 0.5Ω fault resistance at $t = 1.5$ seconds on the middle of section 13. The three phase current angle signals at the starting side ($\varphi^a, \varphi^b, \varphi^c$) and at the ending side ($\varphi^{a'}, \varphi^{b'}, \varphi^{c'}$) are measured at section 13 using PMU15 and PMU16.

The angle of phase A at each side of section 13 is shifted by 120° ($2\pi/3$ Rad) from phase B and 240° ($2\pi/3$ Rad) from phase C as shown in Fig. 4(a), (b) and (c). Deviation phase angles for phases A, B and C for the distribution line at both sides of section 13 are shown in Fig. 4(d). It can be found that the deviation of phase angle A is greater than the threshold value that is 20° . On the other hand, the deviation phase angle of phases B and C is less than the threshold value. This means that the fault is a single line to ground fault and is located at section 13.




Fig. 4. Phase angles of starting and ending sides during single line to ground fault on phase A in the middle of section 13. (a) Angles of phases A, A', (b) Angles of phases B, B'. (c) Angles of phases C, C'. (d) Deviation angles for phases A, B and C, (e) Tripping signal.

SA13 sends a trip signal to CB15 and CB16 that are connected at each end of section 13 to isolate the fault as shown in Fig. 4(e).

The three phase current angle signals are measured using PMU15 and PMU16 at both ends of section 13 for a double line to ground fault on phases B and C in the middle of this section. The phase angles at both sides of section 13 are determined as shown in Fig. 5(a), (b) and (c). The deviation phase angles for phases A, B and C are shown in Fig. 5(d). The deviation phase angle of Phases B and C is greater than the threshold value, which is 20° , while the deviation phase angle of phase A is less than threshold value. This means that the fault was a double line-to-ground fault and located in section 13. SA13 sends a trip signal to both CB15 and CB16 at both ends of section 13 as shown in Fig. 5(e).




Fig. 5. Phase angles of starting and ending sides during double line to ground fault on phases B&C in the middle of section 13. (a) Angles of phases A, A', (b) Angles of phases B, B'. (c) Angles of phases C, C'. (d) Deviation angles for phases A, B and C, (e) Tripping signal.

The phase angle of the three phase current signals are measured using PMU13 and PMU14 at both ends of section 12 during the three phase to ground fault in the middle of this section as shown in Fig. 6(a), (b) and (c).

The deviation of phase angle for phases A, B and C is greater than the threshold value as shown in Fig. 6(d).

This means that the fault is a three phase to ground fault and located in section 2. SA12 sent trip signals to both CB13 and CB14 at both ends of section 12 as shown in Fig. 6(e).




Fig. 6. Phase angles of starting and ending sides during three phase to ground fault in the middle of section 12. (a) Angles of phases A, A', (b) Angles of phases B, B', (c) Angles of phases C, C', (d) Deviation angles for phases A, B and C, (e) Tripping signal.

B. Power Restoration Case Study

To demonstrate the capability of the suggested microgrid to restore all the loads, a single line to ground fault was applied in section 13. Using the communication between the SA13, PMU15 and PMU16, the fault can be detected and isolated as illustrated in Section V.A. Thus, DG2 gets disconnected from the network scheme by opening the circuit breakers at this section, which affects the required power supplied to loads 1 and 2 in this circuit. The following explanation is applied to restore power for the connected loads. L1 (is considered as current and voltage transducers connected to merging unit) communicates with LA1 (is assumed to be physical intelligent electronic device (IED) communication unit) and sends the values [14.5, 60, 5200, 500] which represented [Load current 1, Frequency, Active power 1, Reactive power 1], respectively. Similar loading information is sent from [L2, L3, and L4] to [LA2, LA3, LA4] as shown in messages 2, 3 and 4 in Fig.7. LA3 and LA4 forwarded the values of L3 and L4 which are [14.5, 14.5], respectively to RA1. Also, RA2 received messages from LA2 and LA1 with the pre fault information of L1, L2 as seen in messages 7 and 8. DG1 sent the value of its current which is 21 Ampere to RA1. The same messages are sent from DG2, DG3 and DG4 to GA2, GA3 and GA4 as indicated in messages 10, 11, and 12. RA1 communicated with GA3, GA4 to verify the status of DG3, DG4, and receives the data from GA1, GA2 to investigate about the available current of DG1, DG2 to supply L3 and L4 in case of disconnecting DG3 or DG4. Similar data is passed to RA2 from different generator agents as shown in messages 17, 18, 19 and 20. RA2 is informed that DG2 is disconnected, and the output capacity of DG1, DG3 and DG4, which are [21-20-20], that represented [Current of Distributed Generator 1- Current of Distributed Generator 3- Current of Distributed Generator 4], is enough to supply the connected loads 1, 2, 3 and 4. RA2 sends signals to DG1, DG2 and DG4 in order to supply the loads as shown in messages 21, 22, 23 and 24 as seen in Fig. 7. A similar decision is taken from RA1 in case of a disconnected DG3 or DG4.




Fig. 7. Trace of messages between agents for full service restoration.

Fig. 8(a), (b), (c) and (d) shows the three phase currents of all DGs in the microgrid. It can be noted that the current signal of DG1 is increased from 16 A to 21 A. DG3, DG4 are increased from 15 A to 20 A in order to compensate the power losses of disconnecting DG2.

Upon comparison of Fig. 9(a), (b) with Fig. 9 (c), (d) which show the load currents of 1, 2 and 3, 4 respectively, a higher effect and a faster restoration time can be noted on the former.

After isolating the fault and disconnecting DG2 from the system, loads 1, 2 and 3, 4 were restored after 1.55s and 1.75s respectively.

When a fault occurs in section 12, isolating it from the system using the communication between the SA12, PMU13 and PMU14.

As explained in section II, for circuit one the loads 1 and 2 will be supplied by DGs 1 and 2 respectively.

Generator 3 and 4 will be able to feed the loads 3 and 4 in circuit 2. In this case, we do not need to increase the current from generators, in such a way that the two circuits works independent from each other.




Fig. 8. The three phase current of DGs during single line to ground fault in the middle of section 13. (a) Current of DG1, (b) Current of DG2, (c) Current of DG3, (d) Current of DG4.




Fig. 9. The three phase current of the loads during single line to ground fault in the middle of section 13

VI. CYBER-PHYSICAL MULTI-AGENT FRAMEWORK

This section briefly explains the hardware, software and the data information model of the multi-agent framework. Phasor Measurement Units (PMU) are located on system buses to enable monitoring and control. The standard IEEE Std. C37.118 includes the data transfer protocol for PMUs for power systems [31]. PMUs using IEEE Std. C37.118 communication protocol were deployed at the connection points. For actual implementation of decentralized control schemes in power systems, it is imperative to link multi-agent objects to distributed industrial control systems such as PMUs. The required interface is established through a combination of interoperable information data and protocols. The IEEE Std. C37.118 synchro phasor communication provides a standardized information exchange for power systems. However, it is not sufficient to define the behavior of the agents. Therefore, semantics of the exchanged messages should be defined using common knowledge representations. The FIPA is an organization which intends to evolve inter-operable agent communications with an Agent Communication Language (ACL) [32]-[33]. We implemented the agent framework to run the protection algorithm in real-time using IEEE Std. C37.118 and the FIPA standards as shown in Fig. 10.




Fig. 10. Agent node architecture

ACL messages represent a communicative act or messages (inform, request, refuse etc.) intended to perform some action with precisely defined syntax and semantics [34]-[35]. Java agent development framework (JADE) is a software framework to develop agents compliant with FIPA standards with flexible agent behavior methods [34]. Open connectivity unified architecture (OPC UA) middleware is used to connect ACL messages and IEEE Std. C37.118 measurements. OPC UA is a platform independent specification with a set of industrial standards for system interconnectivity using publish/subscribe mechanism [36]. In this setup, an off-the-shelf OPC UA server is implemented to acquire IEEE Std. C37.118 measurements. An OPC UA client is embedded in the Java platform to enable JADE to access mapped IEEE Std. C37.118 measurements.

VII. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The basic structure of the system includes 4 synchronous generators, and loads. The generators are 13.8-kVA and 10-KVA, 60-Hz, 208-V, and 1800-RPM synchronous machines. The prime mover of each of these generators is coupled to one of the available motors which are driven by different frequency drives. All the generators are equipped with an automatic voltage regulator (AVR) in order to maintain an output voltage magnitude. Fig. 11 shows the overall schematic of Generation Stations and their components. The AVR model is a half-wave phase-controlled thyristor type automatic voltage regulator and forms part of excitation system for brushless generators.




Fig. 11. Overall schematic of a generator station and its measurements.

Excitation power is derived directly from the generator terminals. The output voltage of generators should be maintained by applying offline parameter settings on AVR module. The frequency drive can be used with 3-phase ac induction motors rated from 1/3 HP up to 7-HP on voltages from 120-V single-phase to 600-V three-phase.

For implementing a smart grid with control, programming via a link with real-time software was performed to control the frequency and change the output active power of generators. The control modes of this drive are “vector speed control” for emulating slack generator and constant frequency-voltage, and “vector torque control” for emulating constant active power-voltage output. Hence, by the proper real-time controller, the whole system generation control can be achieved for wide area control usage. The generators parameters have been presented in Table I.

The load module is composed of 10 levels of parallel resistive loads from 0 – 3 kW in steps of 300 W t nominal voltage. [2 x 72 Ω + 4 x 144 Ω in each phase] resistance models, which can be controlled by PLC, to emulate various load patterns.

Table 1. Generation station parameters

Parameter Name	G1	G2, G3, G4
Apparent Power	13.8 KVA	10 KVA
Nominal Voltage	208 V	208 V
Stator Leakage Reactance (X_L)	0.09 pu	0.09 pu
d-axis Synchronous Reactance (X_d)	2.21 pu	2.248 pu
q-axis Synchronous Reactance (X_q)	1.1 pu	1.117 pu
d-axis Transient Time Constant (T_d')	0.014 s	0.012 s

VIII. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section introduces the real-time experiments to validate the proposed multi-agent framework. The proposed multi-agent framework is implemented in a reconfigurable small scale power system available at Florida International University, Smart Grid Test Bed as shown in Fig. 12 [37-38].




Fig.12. Agent platform and laboratory setup

A. Fault Location and Isolation Operation

Fig. 13 shows the correspondences exchanged between the agents to perform the operations of fault location, isolation, and restoration in real-time. After applying a fault, PMUs at both sides of each section measure the three phase current angle signals and forward these signals to their corresponding SA. The SA of the faulted section sends trip signals to both circuit breakers that are located at the both sides of this section to isolate the fault from the system. The type of fault can be identified according to the current phase angle difference between phases A, B and C.

Similar to section V.A, the location of the fault can be determined and the faulty section can be isolated from the system for all types of faults using current phase angle comparison technique. Fig. 14 shows the difference of the current phase angle between the phases, and it covers the operation before and during the fault. If we applied a single phase to ground fault at section 13, it can be seen from Fig. 14(a) that at the 131st second the phase angle deviation of (A-A') at both sides of this section is increased above the threshold value, which was adjusted to be 20° and the other deviation phases (B-B') and (C-C') are not changed.




Fig. 14. Phase angle difference of starting and ending sides at section 13 during. (a) Single line to ground fault, (b) Double line to ground fault. (c) Three phase to ground fault.

Additionally, for a double line to ground fault on phases B and C in the same section, the deviation of these phases increased above the threshold value.




Fig. 13. Correspondence between multi-agent systems.

On the other hand, the deviation of phase A is not changed as shown in Fig. 14(b). Finally, a three phase to ground fault is applied to the last section in circuit one and the deviation phase angle of the three phases are increased above the threshold value as shown in Fig. 14(c).

B. Restoration Operation

As explained before at section V.B, RA is communicating with all the generators in the system. Once the fault occurred in section 13, which is located in circuit 1, RA2 requested from Generator 3 and Generator 4 to inject more power in order to compensate for power losses as a result of disconnecting Generator 2 from the system due to the fault. Fig. 15 shows the real power of each generator that is connected in the system. From the 70th to the 140th second, all the generators can feed the loads in the system during the normal operation. At the 131st second, the fault occurred and generator 2 is disconnected from the system. For generator 1, the output power is increased from 1200w to 1500w after isolating the fault from the system. Generators 3 and 4 can perform the lower restoration process in order to check the synchronization conditions are satisfied and increased their rating value from 900w to 1200w.




Fig. 15. The real power of each generator before and after fault at section 13.

IX. CONCLUSION

This paper proposed a new distribution line protection methodology utilizing contemporary communication technologies between multi-agents. This technique studies the variation of phase angle current at both ends of the distribution line to accurately identify and isolate the faulted section in the system. This method does not need voltage transformers and improves the pilot protection scheme. Communication between multi agents is used to restore power for different loads in the system by changing the configuration of the system to maintain its reliability. Experimental results strongly validated the effectiveness of the proposed protection scheme.

REFERENCE

- [1] M. H. Cintuglu; T. Ma; O. Mohammed, "Protection of Autonomous Microgrids using Agent-Based Distributed Communication", in *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. PP, no.99, pp.1-1, 2016.
- [2] T. S. Ustun; C. Ozansoy; A. Zayegh, "Modeling of a Centralized Microgrid Protection System and Distributed Energy Resources According to IEC 61850-7-420", in *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 1560-1567, 2012.
- [3] H. Wan, K. K. Li and K. P. Wong, "An Adaptive Multiagent Approach to Protection Relay Coordination With Distributed Generators in Industrial Power Distribution System," in *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 2118-2124, Sept.-Oct. 2010.
- [4] Hui Wan, K. K. Li and K. P. Wong, "An multi-agent approach to protection relay coordination with distributed generators in industrial power distribution system," in *Industry Applications Society Annual Meeting*, pp. 830-836 Vol. 2, 2005.
- [5] S. H. Horowitz and A. G. Phadke, "Third zone revisited," in *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 23-29, Jan. 2006.
- [6] S. J. Lee, B. W. Min, K. H. Chung, M. S. Choi, S. H. Hyun, and S. H. Kang, "Adaptive optimal protection of a distribution system using a multi-agent system," in *8th IEE International Conference on Developments in Power System Protection*, pp. 611-614, 2004.
- [7] L. C. Zhou, B. H. Zhang, L. Y. Cheng, C. G. Wang, A. Klimek, and Z. Q. Bo, "Centralized substation backup protection with high reliability," in *10th IET International Conference on Developments in Power System Protection*, pp. 1-6, 2010.
- [8] X. R. Wang, K. M. Hopkinson, J. S. Thorp, R. Giovanini, and K. Birman, "Developing an agent-based backup protection system for transmission networks," in *Power Systems and Communications Infrastructures for the Future*, Beijing, China, Sep. 23-27, 2002.
- [9] N. El Halabi a, M. Garcia-Gracia a , J. Borroy a , J.L. Villa, "Current phase comparison pilot scheme for distributed generation networks protection", in *Applied Energy*, vol. 88 pp. 4563-4569, 2011.
- [10] M. Garcia-Gracia, N. E. Halabi, S. Borroy and L. G. De Urtasun, "Phase jump correction factor applied to the differential equation algorithm by an adaptive scheme," in *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 266-275, February 2011.
- [11] J. Tang, Y. Gong, N. Schulz, M. Steurer and P. G. McLaren, "Implementation of a Ship-Wide Area Differential Protection Scheme," in *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 1864-1871, Nov.-dec. 2008.
- [12] K. Abdel-Latif, M. Eissa, A. Ali, O. Malik, "Laboratory Investigation of Using Wi-Fi Protocol for Transmission Line Differential Protection", in *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 1087-1094, 2009.
- [13] Rezaei N, Haghifam M. "Protection scheme for a distribution system with distributed generation using neural networks", in *International journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 30:235-41, 2008.
- [14] Brahma S, Girgis A. "Development of adaptive protection scheme for distribution systems with high penetration of distributed generation", in *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 19:56-63, 2004.
- [15] M. A. Haj-ahmed and M. S. Illindala, "Investigation of Protection Schemes for Flexible Distribution of Energy and Storage Resources in an Industrial Microgrid," in *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 2071-2080, May-June 2015.
- [16] M. A. Haj-ahmed and M. S. Illindala, "The Influence of Inverter-Based DGs and Their Controllers on Distribution Network Protection," in *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 2928-2937, July-Aug. 2014.
- [17] Trupti P. Hinge, Sanjay S. Dambhare, "Secure Phase Comparison Schemes for Transmission-Line Protection Using Synchrophasors", in *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 2045-2054, 2015.
- [18] H. F. Habib, T. Youssef, M. H. Cintuglu and O. Mohammed, "A multi-agent based technique for fault location, isolation and service restoration," in *IEEE Industry Applications Society Annual Meeting*, Portland, OR, pp. 1-8, 2016.
- [19] B. Kasztenny and I. Voloh, "Rebirth of the phase comparison line protection principle", in *59th Annual Conference for Protective Relay Engineers*, College Station, TX, USA, 2006.
- [20] C. M. Colson, M. H. Nehrir, "Distributed multi-agent microgrids: a decentralized approach to resilient power system self-healing", in *4th International Symposium on Resilient Control Systems (ISRCS)*, pp. 83-88, 2011.

- [21] P. Parikh; I. Voloh; M. Mahony, "Fault location, isolation, and service restoration (FLISR) technique using IEC 61850 GOOSE", in *IEEE Conference on Power and Energy Society General Meeting (PES)*, pp. 1-6, 2013.
- [22] Available on <http://powerit.utk.edu/fnet.html>.
- [23] M. Yalla, M. Adamiak, A. Apostolov, J. Beatty, S. Borlase, J. Bright, J. Burger, S. Dickson, G. Gresco, W. Hartman, J. Hohn, D. Holstein, A. Kazemi, G. Michael, C. Sufana, J. Tengdin, M. Thompson, and E. Udren, "Application of a peer-to-peer communication for protective relaying," in *IEEE Transactions Power Delivery*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 446-451, Apr. 2002.
- [24] Ali Hooshyar, Ehab F. El-Saadany, Majid Sanaye-Pasand, "Fault Type Classification in Microgrids Including Photovoltaic DGs", in *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, vol. 99, pp. 1-12, 2015.
- [25] J. Solanki, N. Schulz, "A Multi-Agent Solution to Distribution Systems Restoration", in *IEEE Transactions on Power System*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 1026-1034, 2007.
- [26] C. M. Colson; M. H. Nehrir; R. W. Gunderson, "Distributed multi-agent microgrids: a decentralized approach to resilient power system self-healing", in *4th International Symposium on Resilient Control Systems (ISRC)*, pp. 83-88, 2011.
- [27] T. Nagata, S. Hatakeyama, M. Yasouka and H. Sasaki, "An efficient method for power distribution system restoration based on mathematical programming and operation strategy," in *Power International Conference on Power System Technology*, Perth, WA, pp. 1545-1550 vol.3, 2000.
- [28] Vadivoo, N. S., Slochanal, S. M. R., "Distribution System Restoration Using Genetic Algorithm with Distributed Generation," in *CCSE Modern Applied Science*, Vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 98-109, April, 2009.
- [29] Huo, Limin, Yin, J., and Yu, Y., "Distribution Network Reconfiguration Based on Load Forecasting," in *International Conference on Intelligent Computation Technology and Automation (ICICTA)*, pp. 1039-1043, 2008.
- [30] E. M. Carreno, N. Moreira and R. Romero, "Distribution network reconfiguration using an efficient evolutionary algorithm," in *IEEE Power Engineering Society General Meeting*, pp. 1-6, 2007.
- [31] IEEE Standard for Synchrophasor Measurements for Power Systems, IEEE Standard C37.118.1, 2011
- [32] R. Belkacemi, A. Feliachi, M. A. Choudhry and J. E. Saymansky, "Multi-Agent systems hardware development and deployment for smart grid control applications," in *IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting*, San Diego, CA, pp. 1-8, 2011.
- [33] Dimeas, A.L.; Hatzigaryiou, N.D., "Operation of a Multiagent System for Microgrid Control," in *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol.20, no.3, pp.1447-1455, Aug. 2005.
- [34] M. H. Cintuglu and O. A. Mohammed, "Multiagent-based decentralized operation of microgrids considering data interoperability," in *IEEE International Conference on Smart Grid Communications (SmartGridComm)*, Miami, FL, pp. 404-409, 2015.
- [35] M. H. Cintuglu, H. Martin and O. A. Mohammed, "An intelligent multi agent framework for active distribution networks based on IEC 61850 and FIPA standards," in *18th International Conference on Intelligent System Application to Power Systems (ISAP)*, Porto, pp. 1-6, 2015.
- [36] Mahnke, Wolfgang, Stefan-Helmut Leitner, and Matthias Damm. "OPC Unified architecture", Springer, 2009.
- [37] V. Salehi, A. Mohamed, A. Mazloomzadeh, and O. A. Mohammed, "Laboratory-Based Smart Power System, Part I: Design and System Development," in *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, vol.3, no.3, pp.1394-1404, Sept. 2012.
- [38] V. Salehi, A. Mohamed, A. Mazloomzadeh, and O.A. Mohammed, "Laboratory-Based Smart Power System, Part II: Control, Monitoring, and Protection," in *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, vol.3, no.3, pp.1405-1417, Sept. 2012.



Hany F. Habib received the B.S. and M.S. degrees in electrical engineering from Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt, in 2007 and 2014, respectively. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree from the Energy Systems Research Laboratory, Electrical and Computer Engineering Department, College of Engineering and Computing, Florida International University, Miami, FL, USA. His current research interests include, power system protection, multi-agent systems, cyber physical systems for active distribution networks and microgrids.



Tarek Youssef received the B.S. and M.S. degrees in electrical engineering from Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt. He is currently working toward the Ph.D. degree at Florida International University, Miami, FL, USA. His current research interests include cyber physical systems, communication, wide area measurement, smart grid security, and real-time monitoring of power system, and artificial intelligence and signal processing applications in the power and energy systems area.



Mehmet H. Cintuglu received the B.S. and M.S. degrees in electrical engineering from Gazi University, Ankara, Turkey, in 2008 and 2011, respectively. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree from the Energy Systems Research Laboratory, Electrical and Computer Engineering Department, College of Engineering and Computing, Florida International University, Miami, FL, USA. From 2009 to 2011, he was a Power Systems Project Engineer at an electric utility company in Turkey. His current research interests include multi agent systems, distributed control, cyber physical systems for active distribution networks and microgrids.



Osama A. Mohammed is a Professor of Electrical Engineering and is the Director of the Energy Systems Research Laboratory at Florida International University, Miami, Florida. He received his Master and Doctoral degrees in Electrical Engineering from Virginia Tech in 1981 and 1983, respectively. He has performed research on various topics in power and energy systems in addition to design optimization and physics based modeling in electric drive systems and other low frequency environments. Professor Mohammed is a world renowned leader in electrical energy systems. He has performed research in the area of electromagnetic signature, wideband gap devices and switching, and ship power systems modeling and analysis. He has current active research projects for several Federal agencies dealing with: power system analysis and operation, smart grid distributed control and interoperability, cyber physical systems, and co-design of cyber and physical components for future energy systems applications. Professor Mohammed has published more than 450 articles in refereed journals and other IEEE refereed International conference records. He also authored a book and several book chapters. Professor Mohammed is an elected Fellow of IEEE and is an elected Fellow of the Applied Computational Electromagnetic Society. Professor Mohammed is the recipient of the prestigious IEEE Power and Energy Society Cyril Veinott electromechanical energy conversion award and the 2012 outstanding research award from Florida International University.