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Abstract

Under the background of big data era today, once been widely used method – multiple linear regressions can not satisfy people’s need to handle big data any more because of its bad characteristics such as multicollinearity, instability, subjectivity in model chosen etc. Contrary to MLR, LASSO method has many good natures. It is stable and can handle multicollinearity and successfully select the best model and do estimation in the same time. LASSO method is an effective improvement of multiple linear regressions. It is a natural change and innovation to introduce LASSO method into the accounting field and use it to deal with the debt costs problems. It helps us join the statistic field and accounting field together step by step. What’s more, in order to proof the applicability of LASSO method in dealing with debt costs problems, we take 2301 companies’ data from Shanghai and Shenzhen A-share market in 2012 as samples, and chose 18 indexes to verify that the results of LASSO method is scientific, reasonable and accurate. In the end, we compare LASSO method with traditional multiple linear regressions and ridge regression, finding out that LASSO method can not only offer the most accurate prediction but also simplify the model.
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1. Introduction

Capital is fundamental to business survival and normal turnover. There are mainly two ways to raise money for companies, one is debt financing, the other goes to equity financing. According to pecking order theory, companies chose debt financing first, then goes to equity financing. At present, debt financing is the major source of financing for most companies. With debt financing getting more and more popular, how to reduce the costs of debt financing and thus obtain more profit have become most companies’ concerning issues.

Nowadays, quantitative research on debt costs mainly use multiple linear regression (MLR) method. Although MLR method is easy to operate, easy to understand, easy to interpreting, and thus has been widely used, it has many defects when used to solve debt cost issues: (1) MLR has poor stability, and regression results are badly dependent on the sample data. We all know that financial data update very fast, if we apply MLR method to analyzing financial data, it is hard for us to get stable model parameter estimation results, thus the results have no meaning. (2) It is subjective when selecting variables into the model according to MLR method. Artificial setting error always exists, and some variables who can explain the model may not be included in the model. (3) MLR method can not satisfy model’s strong explanation and high forecasting accuracy in the same time. (4) As we all know that financial index are highly multicollinearity, and MLR method actually can not solve this problem well.

With the development of Internet, the technology of data collection has been greatly improved. Today, collecting data is no more difficult, so how to effectively dig out useful information from data is taking more and more people’s attention. However, the increase of the number of data can cause problems of multicollinearity inevitably, so we need a model or a method that can involved all the variables into it and solve multicollinearity problem in the same time.

In the field of statistics, the biased estimation method with variable selecting function can effectively solve these problems above. This method is an improvement of multiple linear regression method in big data background. Specifically, biased estimation method contents two kinds of models, one is called Ridge regression, and the other is called LASSO regression. Both of the two kinds of models improve prediction accuracy by imposing penalties on the coefficients. What’s more, LASSO method is a further improvement of Ridge regression. It can overcome Ridge regression’s defect that can not get sparse solution, and can select variables automatically thus exclude subjective interference. Under the background of big data, LASSO method has many good characteristics such as high forecasting accuracy, selecting significant variables automatically, computing fast etc. As a conclusion, it is advisable for us to apply LASSO method to the study of influence factors of debt financing costs.

2. Literature Review

Currently, both China scholars’ and foreign scholars’ research conclusions show that the quality of accounting information and corporate governance are two major factors of the impact of companies’ debt financing costs.

In terms of the quality of accounting information, foreign scholars such as Sengupta(1998), Leua and Verrecchia(2004) believed that the quality of accounting information was negatively related to the debt financing costs. Richard Lambert, Christian Leuz, Robert E. Verrecchia(2006) found out that the quality of accounting information affects debt costs in two ways, one being high quality of information disclosure directly affecting companies’ cash flow, the other being high quality of information disclosure firstly affecting companies’ decision making then affecting companies’ cash flow indirectly.

China scholars started late on the research of quality of accounting information, but made some progress too. Yu Fusheng and Zhang Min (2007) found that the higher the quality of accounting information disclosure to the public was, the lower the debt costs were. At the same time, the larger the market risk that companies were faced with was, the greater the degree of influence of the quality of accounting information to the debt costs was.

From the technical point, there are some deadly defects in Yu and Zhang’s study. No.1, they do not choose debt cost itself as the dependent variable though the data is easy to get, which is not a good choice because using a qualitative indicator (company’s credit rating) instead of a quantitative one (debt cost) can loss much of the
information. No.2, multiple linear regression models can only be used in the situation that the dependent variable
is continuous. But in Yu and Zhang’s paper, it is applied to the qualitative variable, which has violated the
classical assumptions, making the model results meaningless. No.3, only 4 independent variables and 3 control
variables are into the model. The variables are too less to make sure all related variables are included in the model.

When it comes to the research on corporate governance, foreign scholars Schleifer and Vishny (1997) pointed
out that the direct effect company’s governance brought about may be the reducing of debt financing costs, which
showed good corporate governance could reduce financing costs effectively. China scholar Cui Wei (2008) found
that both the proportion of the largest shareholder and board independence were negative related to company’s
debt costs. Besides, Yao Lijie, Luo Mei and Xia Donglin (2010) also did some meaningful work on debt costs
issues, and finally drawn a conclusion the same as Schleifer and Vishny did, which was that good corporate
governance could reduce financing costs effectively.

Though the goal of their studies was same, the selected independent variables were different. Cui selected
independent variables from three aspects: shareholding structure, board of directors and management incentive.
Yao, Luo and Xia thought about three aspects: shareholding structure, board of directors and board of supervisors
based on Liu Liguo (2003)’s and Sun Zheng (2006)’s study results. However, the actual indicators on behalf of
the same aspect are still different.

Based on the conclusion above of the literature review, we finally select 17 dependent variables from 3 aspects:
quality of accounting information, corporate governance and control variables based on the principle of
comprehensiveness, objectivity and easy to collect. In China, state-owned enterprises and non-stated-owned
enterprises are different in nature. It is essential to distinguish the state-owned enterprises and non-state-owned
ones when analyzing the influence factors of debt costs. In conclusion, all enterprises will be divided into two
types under the property right, one is state-owned enterprises and the other is non-state-owned enterprises, after
that we will apply LASSO method to optimize a model and find out the similarities and differences about the
influence factors of debt costs between the two kind of enterprises. Last but not least, we will compare LASSO
method with Ridge regression and MLR to prove that under the background of big data, LASSO method is the
best one in variable selection, fitting, forecasting accuracy and many other aspects. Our conclusion will show that
LASSO method is applicable, reliable and progress when be used to solve companies’ debt financing costs
influence factors issue under the background of big data.

3. LASSO method

Tibshirani R came up with a new variable selection method called lasso (least absolute shrinkage and selection
Lasso method uses the absolute value of the model coefficients as the punishment function, so that coefficients
who are small enough will automatically be compressed to zero, and in this way both model selection and
parameter estimation can be well done. LASSO method is stable and continuous in coefficient estimation, and
can successfully overcome multicollinearity. It is good at variable selection, parameter estimation and many other
aspects as well.

Let the coefficients of LASSO model be vector \( \beta, \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_p)^T \), and the corresponding loss function
be \( L(\beta) \), then we can write down the punishment likelihood function as follows:

\[
L(\beta) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} p_{\lambda}(|\beta_i|) \tag{1}
\]

Specifically, when \( L(\beta) = (y - X^T \beta)^2 \), \( p_{\lambda}(|\beta_i|) = \lambda |\beta_i|^q \), expression (1) represents the punishment function
of ridge regression if \( q=2 \), and represents the punishment function of LASSO method if \( q=1 \).

For linear model \( y = X^T \beta + e \),
Where \( y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)^\top \), \( X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p) \), \( x_j = (x_{1j}, x_{2j}, \ldots, x_{nj})^\top, j = 1, 2, \ldots, p \)

The specific form of LASSO model can be expressed as:

\[
\hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\beta} (y - X^\top \beta)^2, \text{s.t.} \sum_{i=1}^d |\beta_i| \leq t \tag{2}
\]

Expression (2) is the same as

\[
\hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\beta} \{(y - X^\top \beta)^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^d |\beta_i|\} \tag{3}
\]

Where \( t \) and \( \lambda \) have a one to one relationship.

When taking forecasting as a main purpose, PE (predicting error) is always used to measure the pros and cons of LASSO model. The smaller PE is, the higher LASSO model prediction accuracy is. Compared with MLR method, LASSO fitting results can improve the prediction accuracy greatly by the cost of losing part of information, which in fact leads to a better result.

In general, samples are randomly divided into train set and test set. Samples in train set are used to select model and estimate coefficients, and others in the test set are used to compute PE according to the coefficient estimators. Lastly, the best model will be picked out based on the PE value.

The prediction error can be expressed as

\[
PE = E(y - \hat{y})^2 = E(y - E(y \mid x))^2 + E(E(y \mid x) - \hat{y})^2 \tag{4}
\]

The first term in expression (4) represents the inherent error of the system, which can not be eliminated. The second one in expression (4) is the error caused by the model fitting, called model error, whose size reflects the pros and cons of different models.

4. Empirical Study

4.1. variable and data description

Variables and their descriptions are shown in Table 1.

All samples come from Shanghai and Shenzhen A share listed companies in China, 2012. We have excluded the followed samples: (1) All 39 financial listed companies. The borrowing behavior and financial statements of these companies are special. In order to reflect general rules, we exclude all financial listed companies. (2) 9 companies with missing data. (3) 111 companies who belongs to S, ST, *ST, S*ST, SST listed companies in 2012. Finally, we get 2013 samples, where 946 are state-owned enterprises and 1355 are non-state-owned enterprises. The data respectively come from Xenophon database, GTA database and Wind information, and some of the data are obtained by manual calculation.
Table 1 Variables and data description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Descriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEBT</td>
<td>debt costs</td>
<td>finance costs / total debt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDIT</td>
<td>audit opinion</td>
<td>dummy variable, 1 for standard unqualified audit opinion, 0 for others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIRNUM</td>
<td>size of board of directors</td>
<td>the number of board of directors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDNUM</td>
<td>independence of board of directors</td>
<td>the number of independent directors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPNUM</td>
<td>size of board of supervisors</td>
<td>the number of board of supervisors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANAGE</td>
<td>management incentive</td>
<td>executive shareholding / total shares</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>ownership concentration</td>
<td>square of the proportion of the largest shareholder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROE</td>
<td>ROE</td>
<td>net profit / ( total assets – total debts )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIXAST</td>
<td>fixed assets level</td>
<td>total net fixed assets / total assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROA</td>
<td>ROA</td>
<td>net profit / total assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td>scale of company</td>
<td>ln (total assets at the end of year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEV</td>
<td>debt level</td>
<td>total debts / total assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFO</td>
<td>own capital adequacy</td>
<td>net cash flow from operating activities / total assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBIT</td>
<td>EBIT</td>
<td>net profit + financial costs + income tax expense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLOAN</td>
<td>long-term debts</td>
<td>long-term debts / total assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTCOV</td>
<td>interest coverage</td>
<td>( net profit + financial costs + income tax expense ) / finance costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>current ratio</td>
<td>current assets / current debts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROWTH</td>
<td>main business revenue growth</td>
<td>increase of the main business revenue / main business revenue at the beginning of the year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASH</td>
<td>capital requirements</td>
<td>dummy variable. if the net cash flow from operating activities plus the net cash flow from investing activities less than or equal to 0, CASH will be 1. otherwise CASH will be 0.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Actually we should involve more probably related variables into consideration to show that we are trying to solve a big data problem with LASSO, but we do not because the pages are limited and 17 variables*2301 samples can also tell something about big data.

4.2. Descriptive statistical analysis

Fig. 1 to Fig. 6 show that for state-owned enterprises, the debt cost is positively correlated with the fixed assets levels, own liquidity and long-term debt level and is negatively correlated with the scale of the company, ROE and the interest coverage ratio.

Positively relative:
Fig. 7 to Fig. 11 show that own liquidity and fixed assets level are positively related to the debt costs. ROE, the scale of company and interest coverage ratio are negatively related to the debt costs.
4.3. Modeling and result analysis

First of all, draw 70% of the entire samples as the training samples using simple random sampling without replacement. The remaining 30% are as testing samples. Then optimize objective expression (3), and estimate the shrinking paths (Fig. 12 and Fig. 13) of both state-owned enterprises and non-state-owned enterprises. Last, chose the best model whose PE value is smallest. The estimating results of the best model are listed in Table 4.

Note: In Fig 3.1, the corresponding variables of the shrinking paths from top to bottom are: LLOAN, FIXAST, AUDIT, ROA, LEV, CASH, IDNUM, MANAGE, NTCOV, EBIT, SUPNUM, GROWTH, H, DIRNUM, SIZE, CFO, ROE, CR.

In Fig 3.2, the corresponding variables of the shrinking paths from top to bottom are: LLOAN, FIXAST, CASH, ROE, DIRNUM, IDNUM, NTCOV, EBIT, SUPNUM, GROWTH, MANAGE, H, AUDIT, SIZE, CFO, CR, LEV, ROA.
Table 2 final regression results of state and non-state owned enterprises

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>independent variables</th>
<th>code</th>
<th>regression coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>constant</td>
<td>CONSTANT</td>
<td>0.06149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quality of accounting</td>
<td>AUDIT</td>
<td>-0.00021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIRNUM</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.00003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDNUM</td>
<td></td>
<td>——</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPNUM</td>
<td></td>
<td>——</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANAGE</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.00101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.00002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>corporate governance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIXAST</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROA</td>
<td></td>
<td>——</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.00114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEV</td>
<td></td>
<td>——</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFO</td>
<td></td>
<td>——</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBIT</td>
<td></td>
<td>——</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLOAN</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTCOV</td>
<td></td>
<td>——</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.01518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROWTH</td>
<td></td>
<td>——</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASH</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total variables into</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model (except constant)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: “——” represents 0.

**Quality of accounting information.** According to the regression results in Table 2, quality of accounting information has a significant impact on the debt costs both of state-owned enterprises and non-stated-owned enterprises. Regression coefficient is negative indicates that standard unqualified audit opinion can effectively reduce company’s debt costs, which is consistent with normal experience. We notice that the absolute value of regression coefficient of state-owned enterprises is larger than it of non-state-owned enterprises, which indicates that the quality of accounting information has a greater degree of influence on state-owned enterprises compared with non-state-owned enterprises.

**Corporate governance.** For state-owned enterprises, the variables who have a significant impact on debt costs are size of the board of directors, management incentive and ownership concentration, respectively. Regression coefficients of the three variables are all negative, which indicate that a sound corporate governance structure, appropriate management shareholding proportion can bring lower debt costs for state-owned enterprises.

For non-state-owned enterprises, the variables who influence debt costs go to the independent of the board of directors, management incentive and ownership concentration. The regression coefficients of management
incentive and ownership concentration are both negative, which indicates that a sound corporate governance structure, reasonable management shareholding proportion also play an important role in reducing the debt costs of non-state-owned enterprises.

**Control variables.** Variables who affect both state-owned and non-state-owned enterprises are fixed assets level, the scale of company, long-term debt and current ratio.

For state-owned enterprises, in addition to these 4 variables, capital requirements also have an impact on debt costs. Regression coefficients of the scale of company and current ratio are negative and regression coefficients of the fixed assets level and long-term debts are positive.

For non-state-owned enterprises, ROA, debt levels and own capital adequacy also have an impact on debt costs besides the 4 common variables above. Among them, the scale of company, ROA, own capital adequacy and current ratio have negative regression coefficients and fixed assets level, long-term debts and debt level have positive regression coefficients.

### 4.4. Effectiveness analysis of LASSO method

We also compare the effectiveness between LASSO, Ridge regression and MLR. To ensure the scientific and reliability of the results, three methods are conducted 100 times respectively, and the average of prediction error, standard deviation of prediction error and the average number of variables into model are all calculated and shown in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>methods</th>
<th>state-owned enterprises</th>
<th>non-state-owned enterprises</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>average of PE</td>
<td>std of PE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLR</td>
<td>0.097%</td>
<td>0.100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridge</td>
<td>0.072%</td>
<td>0.040%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LASSO</td>
<td>0.059%</td>
<td>0.020%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Prediction error (PE).** As we can see in Table 5, the PE value of LASSO method is just 0.08% lower than it of MLR for state-owned enterprises. However, the average prediction error obtained by MLR is far higher 22.778% than it obtained by LASSO method for non-state-owned enterprises, showing that LASSO method owns quite higher prediction accuracy than MLR.

**Model stability.** According to Table 5, the standard deviation of prediction error of LASSO method is the smallest. The standard deviation of prediction error of MLR is the largest. It indicates that the model stability of LASSO is better than it of MLR.

**Variable selection.** It is only LASSO method that successfully achieves variable selection function, who selected 9 and 11 variables from all 18 variables in the situation of keeping the smallest average prediction error and remaining the best stability.

In a conclusion, it is scientific and reasonable to introduce LASSO method to the study of debt cost issues as it has the best characteristics.

### 5. Conclusions

We have drawn 3 conclusions based on the research as follows:

**1. LASSO method is scientific and reliable.** With big data, LASSO method has many good characteristics and is a kind of effective improvement for OLS method. This method has provided a new approach and new ideas of the study of debt costs, which changes the debt cost issue research to be more scientific and reasonable.
(2) Factors that affect state owned and non-state owned enterprises’ debt costs are different, we need to be cautious when analyzing. On the one hand, factors influence state-owned and non-state-owned enterprises are different. On the other hand, the influence degree of the same factors on debt costs of difference types of enterprises is different. Therefore, it is necessary to distinguish state-owned and non-state-owned enterprises when analyzing debt cost issues in order to refraining reference to other companies blindly.

(3) It is necessary to pay enough attention on control variables as some of them have significant influences on debt costs. As we can see from the empirical results, the absolute values of the control variable regression coefficients are generally larger than the independent variable regression coefficients, which indicate that the degree of control variables affecting debt costs is relatively greater than that of dependent variables doing. So we need pay more attention to the control variables.
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