



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](#)

Critical Perspectives on Accounting

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cpa



Public sector management accounting in emerging economies: A literature review

Jan van Helden^{a,*}, Shahzad Uddin^b

^a University of Groningen, Netherlands

^b University of Essex, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19 March 2014

Received in revised form 31 December 2015

Accepted 5 January 2016

Available online xxx

Keywords:

Management accounting

Public sector

Emerging economies

Literature review

ABSTRACT

This paper reviews public sector management accounting (PSMA) research in emerging economies (EEs) and suggests ways forward. A political economy approach to management accounting and development is adopted to analyze the findings of the papers reviewed. Our review covers the past two decades and is based on 69 papers published in international accounting and public administration journals. We find that different development phases give rise to distinctive types of management accounting practice, which often do not live up to expectations owing to local politics and other socio-economic factors. Although the quantity of PSMA research on EEs is growing, there is a need for future research that addresses how management accounting practices in the public sector in EEs are impacted by the interplay between the public management programmes of international donor agencies and the political, economic and cultural contexts of EEs. In order to capture these complex socio-political and socio-cultural contexts, solid theoretical foundations are recommended, relying especially on critical and social theories, as well as on qualitative research such as case studies.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The quantity of research on accounting in emerging economies (EEs) has grown over the last two decades. This may be rooted in a multitude of complex factors, including the increasing pressures of globalisation, aggressive accounting reforms advanced by donor agencies, the expansion of PhD programmes in Western universities for scholars from EEs, and the development and expansion of accounting journals sympathetic to accounting research in EEs. Nevertheless, research in this domain, especially management accounting (MA) research, is still in its infancy. Much of the research has been conducted in the area of financial accounting, often on the topic of accounting standards and professional accounting bodies (Hopper, Tsamenyi, Uddin, & Wickramasinghe, 2009). MA research in the public sector in EEs has been largely neglected, or has begun to develop quite recently (Hopper & Bui, 2016; Hopper et al., 2009, 471–72 pp.).

Public-sector management accounting (PSMA) research has been reviewed extensively (Broadbent & Guthrie, 1992, 2008; Goddard, 2010; van Helden, 2005). However, although these reviews have provided an overall understanding of the changing role of MA in the public sector, they have not focused particularly on EEs. It is, therefore, important to take stock of knowledge on PSMA in EEs and provide an understanding of the changing nature of MA practices in the public sector in EEs. There are two particular reasons for our review.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: g.j.van.helden@rug.nl (J. van Helden), snuddin@essex.ac.uk (S. Uddin).

First, the adoption of 'new public management' (NPM, see Hood, 1991, 1995) in public-sector organisations in EEs, often inspired by the preferred market-based 'development discourse' and sponsored by international donor agencies, has been receiving increasing attention from academics and policy makers over the last two decades (Allen, 2009; Annisette, 2004; Manning, 2001; Polidano, 1999; Reichard, 2004; Schick, 1998). NPM has been severely criticized. Researchers claim that it has not been successful in promoting economic growth and stability, reducing poverty, and generating employment (Harvey, 2005; Hopper et al., 2009; Morales, Gendron, & Guenin-Paracini, 2014). Moreover, studies acknowledge that the type and intensity of NPM vary significantly from country to country (Guthrie et al., 1999; Pollitt, 2001; Pollitt & Boukaert, 2011). However, a critical assessment of research on NPM-related MA practices in EEs is lacking, and this review attempts to fill this gap. The ambition of our review goes beyond NPM reforms in EEs, in the sense that we wish to contribute to an understanding of how the development agendas of international donor agencies, such as the World Bank, have impacted on the MA repertoire in EE public sectors over the last twenty years.

Second, this review aims to give voice to EEs' concerns about the importance of accounting in their development agendas, particularly by stimulating debate about how accounting can respond to the context-specific needs of EEs (Hopper & Bui, 2016). This will inform potential PSMA researchers about empirical findings and debates on policy, practice and theory relevant to EEs. This review may also attract the attention of accounting policy makers in global and local institutions, and practitioners, such as politicians, managers and consultants, in EE public sectors. The importance of public-sector accounting in achieving economic development goals in EEs further underpins this rationale.

Review papers on either public- or private-sector MA in EEs are scarce (Ashraf & Uddin, 2011; Hopper et al., 2009; Abdul-Rahaman, Gallhofer, Haslam, & Lawrence, 1997). Our paper aims to build on reviews by Hopper et al. (2009) and Abdul-Rahaman et al. (1997) and seeks to respond to claims made by the former that MA practices in the public sector have scarcely been researched (Hopper et al., 2009, 493–495 pp.; see also Alawattage, Hopper, & Wickramasinghe, 2007). Hopper et al. (2009) conducted a comprehensive review of MA research in EEs but did not focus particularly on the public sector. Their review provided us with theoretical motivations to categorise and analyze MA research papers. Abdul-Rahaman et al.'s (1997) review paper on EEs focused on both public-sector accounting and financial management research papers published before 1995, and is quite close to our review aim. However, since 1995, a shift in the development discourses of donor agencies, including NPM reforms, has affected the public sector in EEs quite significantly (Ashraf & Uddin, 2013; Duval, Gendron, & Roux-Dufort, 2015), and our review covers this period.

In reviewing the last twenty years of published research (from 1995 to 2014), our paper seeks to address two simple questions: what do we know and what do we need to know about MA in the public sector in EEs? Given the distinct shift in development discourses and the role of the state over the past two decades (Broadbent & Guthrie, 1992, 2008; Gendron, Cooper, & Townley, 2001), this paper focuses particularly on exploring transformations in the public sector and how they intertwine with MA practices in EEs.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, the terms 'management accounting', 'public sector' and 'emerging economies' are defined, and in Section 3 papers are listed by groups of countries, MA themes, methods and theories. Section 4 outlines a framework for development discourses and related MA repertoires in the public sector over time, from state-led development, through market-led development to localized development. This framework is used to analyze the findings of empirical research over the last twenty years (Section 5) and to provide pointers for research and policy making in the future (Section 6).

2. Boundaries of the review and review approach

2.1. Boundaries of the review

MA has been defined in different shapes and forms. We take our definition of MA from Hopper et al. (2009, p. 470): 'It embraces processes, structures and information for organisational decisions, governance, control and accountability.' Previous studies in EEs have shaped our position on this wider view of MA, which must be understood in the context of historical, social, political and economic factors and their unanticipated consequences (Hopper et al., 2009). In order to narrow the focus, we have not included financial accounting, but acknowledge that the demarcation between MA and financial accounting is often problematic. Nevertheless, the broader definition of MA adopted in this paper has enabled us to undertake a wide search for papers.

The term 'emerging economy' is being continually redefined, justifiably so because economic development and poverty are complex, variable and discontinuous. National income (per inhabitant) may not readily indicate the level of poverty or general access to basic services; nor does it indicate the level of institutional development in a particular country. Nevertheless, the United Nations (UN), the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund (IMF) maintain a list of countries categorized into bands ranging from low income to high income per inhabitant. Despite debate, the UN human development index (HDI) and World Bank indices are particularly influential on development programmes. This review examines research on countries in the World Bank's lower to upper middle income bands.¹ This wide span of incomes enabled us to cover a broad range of EEs. The World Bank indices are narrower than the UN HDI index, but our categorization

¹ Used as a demarcation criterion for establishing the domain of EEs (Source: <http://data.worldbank.org/about/country-classifications>).

Table 1

Number of papers per accounting and non-accounting journal in the review.

Accounting journals (in alphabetic order)	Number of papers
Accounting, Auditing, and Accountability Journal (AAAJ)	9
Accounting and Business Research (ABR)	1
Accounting Forum (AF)	3
Accounting History (AH)	1
Accounting, Organizations and Society (AOS)	4
Asian Review of Accounting (ARA)	1
Australian Accounting Review (AAR)	1
Critical Perspectives on Accounting (CPA)	3
Financial Accountability & Management (FAM)	3
International Accounting (IA)	1
Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change (JAOC)	7
Management Accounting Research (MAR)	5
Pacific Accounting Review (PAR)	1
Research in Third World Accounting (RTWA); now Research in Accounting in Emerging Economies (RAEE)	3
<i>Total number of papers in accounting journals</i>	43
<i>Non-accounting journals (in alphabetic order)</i>	
Development Policy Review (DPR)	2
International Journal of Public Sector Management (IJPSM)	2
International Public Management Review (IPMR)	2
International Review of Business Research Papers (IRBRP)	1
Journal of African Business (JAB)	2
Public Administration and Development (PAD)	17
<i>Total number of papers in non-accounting journals</i>	26
<i>Total number of papers</i>	69

included all countries except those with a very high UN HDI.² Consistent with recent review papers, we excluded ex-communist countries in transition, identifying them as relatively developed in terms of socio-political and cultural institutions. Nevertheless, poorer ex-communist countries were included. We also included China (excluding Hong Kong).

Defining the public sector is also complex (see Broadbent & Guthrie, 2008 on the changing boundaries of the public sector), as it is identified in various ways in different economic, cultural and political contexts. This paper defines the public sector in a broad sense: it covers state-owned commercial and non-commercial enterprises (SOEs), autonomous governmental agencies, core organizations at different layers of government (i.e. central, intermediate and local), and also organizations that formally operate outside the government sector but are regulated and partly funded by government, for example in health care and education.

2.2. Selection of journals and review design

The wider definitions of MA, public sector and EEs explained in Section 2.1 were the fundamental premise of our search for papers. We examined the complete tables of contents of relevant journals over the last 20 years. Our initial selection of journals included all important international accounting journals, as defined by Lowe and Locke (2005), as well as one public administration journal with an exclusive focus on EEs (*Public Administration and Development*). Later, the selection of journals was expanded through a kind of 'snowball method', as references in papers from these pre-selected journals sometimes pointed to papers from journals that we had not considered at the beginning of our selection process. When the titles of papers were insufficiently informative, we read the abstracts in order to assess the appropriateness of papers for our review. Certain key words (especially MA, public sector, EEs) were used only in the sense of references to broad categories of potentially relevant viewpoints, meaning that, within MA, diverging topics might be opportune (e.g. budgeting, performance management, costing, management control), and EEs might refer to different countries or groups of countries, while the public sector covered various sub-sectors such as core government, agencies, and policy fields like health and education.

Table 1 gives an overview of the number of papers in our review from each of the journals. A distinction is made between accounting and non-accounting (mainly public administration) journals. The total number of papers in accounting journals amounts to 43; *Accounting, Auditing, and Accountability Journal* (AAAJ) and the *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change* (JAOC) published the largest number of papers. We emphasize that our search for relevant papers in international accounting journals went beyond the journals listed in Table 1. However, journals such as *Abacus* and the *Journal of Management Accounting Research* did not publish papers in the target domain. In the category of non-accounting journals, 26 papers are included in the review. Here, *Public Administration and Development* (PAD), a niche journal for PSMA research, is by far the

² The UN HDI index gives an indication of the wealth of countries worldwide. The HDI index measures a country's average achievements according to three dimensions of human development: a long and healthy life, access to knowledge and a decent standard of living. The UN classifies countries into four categories: very high (e.g. the US and Germany), high (e.g. Mexico and Malaysia), medium (e.g. Indonesia and Egypt) and low (e.g. Bangladesh and Nigeria). Countries with medium, low, and part of the high categories of HDI scores may also be regarded as EEs (Source: <http://hdr.undp.org/en/statistics/>).

most important outlet. Whilst our search for papers covered a broad set of international accounting and public administration journals, we acknowledge that our review does not include papers published in non-English journals.

Our approach in reviewing academic papers on PSMA research was as follows (see also [Tranfield, Denyer, & Smart, 2003](#)). The papers selected for review were read and summarized. Each summary included categorizations according to continent, theories, methods of data collection and MA themes, together with the paper's main findings. Similar types of categorization have been used in other reviews (such as [Broadbent & Guthrie, 2008](#); [Goddard, 2010](#); [van Helden, 2005](#); [Scapens & Bromwich, 2010](#)), demonstrating the possibility of classifying academic knowledge according to particular viewpoints. We produced a database including all summaries and categorizations of the papers,³ and then used this database to compile tables of the various categorizations, which are presented in Section 3. References for the reviewed papers are given in the Reference list and their categorizations in [Appendix A](#). The main step in our review approach is elaborated in Section 5, where we provide interpretations of themes emerging from our database of 69 papers relating to shifts in the development discourses instigated by international donor agencies.

3. Categorization of reviewed papers

This section presents our categorization of the reviewed papers according to the location of the research, the methods of data collection, the theories and MA themes. Reflections on MA themes provide the background to the theoretical framework presented in Section 4 and more in-depth analysis in Section 5.

Table 2
Categorization of papers according to continent.

Continent	Number of papers	As a percentage of total
Africa	20	29
Asia	30	43
Latin America	2	3
Australian Pacific	5	7
Two or three continents	3	4
EE in general	9	13
Total	69	100

3.1. Continents

[Table 2](#), which categorizes papers according to the continents of their research sites, highlights two striking points.⁴ First, the continent on which most PSMA research has been published is Asia (43%), followed by Africa (29%), and finally the Australian Pacific and Latin America. Most notable is the low number of papers (only two) from Latin America. Many Asian studies are rooted in China, and particularly in Chinese SOEs. Second, a sizeable proportion of the papers (13%) is concerned with EEs in general, rather than one country or a particular group of countries. These papers are often literature reviews or reviews of policy documents.

3.2. Methods

[Table 3](#) presents a categorization according to methods of data collection. Case/field research is by far the most frequently used method of data collection (62%),⁵ while papers based on surveys (six percent) and documents (three percent) are relatively scarce. This finding resonates with [Alawattage et al.'s observation \(2007, p. 184\)](#) that a lack of sufficiently reliable data from surveys and official documents is the main reason for conducting case/field research. This is perhaps also because of the poor response to questionnaire surveys from respondents in EEs for various cultural and political reasons ([Hofstede, 1984](#); [Hoque & Hopper, 1994](#)). Very few case studies involve ethnographic research, despite its potential for uncovering rich accounts of MA practices in the public sector ([Cunliffe, 2011](#); [Uddin & Hopper, 2001](#)). Common methods used in case research include interviews, observations and documentation. The great majority (around 70%) of case-based papers use single case studies. In addition, as indicated earlier (see [Table 2](#)), literature reviews (10%) discuss PMSA research in EEs in general, with no specific country focus. This also applies partly to policy studies based on official documents, often released by international organizations or individual governments (11%). A small proportion of the papers (seven percent) are reflective in character, not relying on specific methods.

³ This database will be available on request from the authors.

⁴ In the sense of the continents where the studied organization(s) is/are located.

⁵ Case/field research, according to [Parker \(2012, p. 56\)](#), is research in which the researcher is in direct contact with organizational settings, conducting in-depth research into actors and their contexts in their naturally occurring settings.

Table 3
Categorization of papers according to methods of data collection.^a

Methods of data collection	Number of papers	As a percentage of total
Literature review	7	10
Case-field study	42.9	62
Survey	4.3	6
Documentary study	2.3	3
Policy study based on official documents	7.5	11
Reflection (essay)	5	7
Total	69	100

^a When papers are based on two or three methods, each method counts for 0.5 or 0.33 respectively, which explains that totals are sometimes non-integer numbers. The total number in the percentage column can slightly differ from 100, due to a rounding-off effect.

3.3. Theories and paradigms

Table 4 presents a categorization according to theories and paradigms used to explain MA phenomena, drawing on Chua's (1986) work. The most striking finding is that nearly 50% of papers do not use any explicit theory, or use only a fairly pragmatic form of theorization, such as in papers relying on NPM ideas (see Gruening, 2001 for theoretical underpinnings of NPM). As stressed by Hopper et al. (2009), the theoretical development and use of social theories in accounting research in EEs is generally weak. This is possibly due to the fact that the authors and intended audiences of some of these a-theoretical and descriptive papers are non-academics and consultants. Nevertheless, our findings resonate with Jacobs' (2012) review of the use of theories in public-sector accounting research regarding the relatively large number of papers with a descriptive focus, using no theory at all. However, approximately only 14% of the papers in our review are based on multiple theories, while around 36% are based on a single theory, contrasting with Jacobs' finding of what he calls 'theoretical promiscuity'.

In terms of paradigms, 13% of the papers can be classified under a positivist paradigm. These papers use a range of theories, including agency theory and contingency theory. While the positivistic tradition provides information about generally reported combinations of MA controls and firm/environmental variables, this approach may not be helpful for understanding complex and changing socio-economic and cultural issues and their implications for MA. Non-positivistic researchers argue that it limits the wider understanding of actual practices of MA controls and the changes therein (Ryan, Scapens, & Theobald, 1995). Furthermore, 20% of the papers can be classified under an interpretive paradigm. Institutional theory, structuration theory, stakeholder theory, action and hermeneutics approaches are used. Papers based on institutional theories rely on 'new institutional sociology' and 'old institutional economics' (see Modell, 2009 and Burns & Scapens, 2000; respectively), sometimes combined with other theories, such as structuration theory. These theories seek to identify subjective meanings ensuing from people's interactions with MA systems. Nevertheless, they have been criticized for failing to give due consideration to external and internal institutional influences, indigenous beliefs and social structures (Hopper, Ashraf, Uddin, & Wickramasinghe, 2014). Institutional theory is particularly criticized for its 'elite bias', in the sense of giving voice to actors who dominate certain institutional fields (see Modell, 2014, p. 93).

We find that 17% of the papers can be categorized under a critical paradigm. Theories used under this paradigm include labour process theory, a Foucauldian perspective on governmentality, and a Bourdieusian framework on human capital and fields, as well as cultural political economy. Researchers in the critical tradition attempt to address both subjective and structural factors in understanding or promoting change in organizations and society (Baxter & Chua, 2003). However, theories used within the critical tradition have been criticized for neglecting a balanced consideration of the micro and macro, and for downplaying the effects of global economic structures (Hopper et al., 2014). In the critical tradition, a small group of researchers has drawn on cultural political economy approaches, making global capitalism, economic development and actors central to their analyses. This has provided researchers with opportunities to locate MA practices in the context of the changing nature of wider development discourses within donor agencies. This approach can also be seen in our own ideas about the interplay between development discourses and public sector MA models, discussed in Section 4.

Table 4
Categorization of papers according to theories and paradigms.^a

Theories	Number of papers	As a percentage of total	Paradigm
Agency theory/Economic theory/Contingency theory/Statistical Institutional theory, ^b Stakeholder theory/Action/Hermeneutics/ Structuration theory	9	13	Mainstream accounting/functionalist
	14	20	Interpretive
Governmentality (Foucault)/Bourdieuian framework	5	7	Critical
Labour Process/Political Economy	7	10	Critical
Descriptive papers without any explicit theory	34	49	–
Total	69	100	

^a See note Table 3; similar calculation procedures apply.

^b Although institutional theory may be connected to a positivist (functionalist) or interpretive paradigm, almost all of the papers in our review based on institutional theory rely on an interpretive paradigm.

Table 5
Categorization of papers according to management accounting themes.^a

Theme in key words	Number of papers	As percentage of total
Changing nature of management accounting in SOEs	14	20
Western influences on management accounting	24	35
State-led centralized controls	7	10
Sequencing of financial management	8	12
The impact of the EE-specific context on management accounting	13.5	20
Conflicting interests and values in management accounting practice	2.5	4
Total	69	100

^a See note [Table 3](#): similar calculation procedures apply.

3.4. Management accounting themes

Other reviews of public-sector accounting research provide categorizations of disciplinary topics, such as budgeting, costing and performance measurement ([Broadbent & Guthrie, 2008](#); [Goddard, 2010](#); [van Helden, 2005](#)). In our review, we take a more thematic viewpoint to categorize the research, in which themes refer to broader clusters of interrelated issues of MA in the public sector. In particular, themes may emerge from the main findings of a paper relating to its research aims or questions.

[Table 5](#) shows the papers according to MA themes. First, studying the impact of Western influences on MA practices is the most popular theme (35%). This theme is about understanding how Western ideas, especially 'rational/legal accounting', and NPM-driven ideas, such as performance budgeting, full costing for pricing, accrual accounting and performance auditing, are shaping public-sector MA practices in EEs. Because the state has become less dominant in the public sector in many EEs and market forces have become core to public sector governance, the legal/rational accounting model has increasingly been replaced by NPM-driven accounting ([Hopper et al., 2009](#)). Second, researching the changing role of MA in SOEs is a frequently addressed theme (20%). This theme is about the role of MA practices in SOEs in general, and more particularly how these practices are changing under the increasing impact of market forces. Researchers' interests in the theme are understandable, given that SOEs have become the centre of industrial production owing to a lack of private capital in many post-colonial countries ([Alawattage et al., 2007](#)). Third, the contextual influence on MA practice is also often discussed (20%), mainly in combination with the above two themes. Work in this area is diverse, but a common theme is that of seeking to understand to what extent structural conditions hinder the adoption of NPM-driven MA practices.

Fourth, state-led centralized control features in seven papers (10%). Their authors have focused on the influence of the state in the public sector, including centralized forms of control in accountability in governmental systems. Fifth, some papers have a strong practical relevance and discuss circumstances under which a sequential approach to financial management might be appropriate (12%). This theme relies on the idea that some basic reform elements must precede more advanced reform elements in EEs, such as control of inputs before control of outputs, a reliable accounting system before an integrated financial management system, and effective financial auditing before performance auditing. Finally, a small number of papers (four percent) has pointed out conflicting interests and values in the public sector to explain how the reform programmes and related MA practices noted in the literature have been beneficial to one group but harmful to others. This theme acknowledges that public-sector reforms in EEs are often value-laden, for example emphasizing economic aspects such as profitability, and ignoring social aspects such as diminishing access to services by relevant target groups and deteriorating labour conditions.

The first three of the above themes (see [Table 5](#)) explicitly address MA practices relating to the role of the state in EEs' public sector, and how this role has changed over the course of time. These three themes cover 65% of the papers in our review. As a result, one main question to be answered is how these changing visions of the role of the state in EEs' public sector have impacted on ideas about MA practices, and whether these ideas have lived up to expectations. The next section develops a framework that links the development agendas of international donor agencies, in which diverging visions of the role of the state are core, to ideals of MA practices in the public sector. This framework will help provide an understanding of the external pressures on public-sector MA practices in EEs.

4. Development discourses and political economy of management accounting change

This section outlines a framework to make sense of development discourses and related MA repertoires in the public sector over time, as instigated by international donor agencies. The framework highlights two significant shifts, one from state-led development to market-led development, and the other from market-led development to localized development

During the late 1970s and early 1980s, the rise of neoliberal ideas (oftentimes translated through the notion of NPM), particularly in rich economies, began to bring about changes to the public-sector governance model of organization ([Pollitt, 1995](#)). Previous studies on NPM and MA changes have demonstrated a drastic change in views on the role of the state and MA models (in the sense of a wider governance model) suitable for the public sector to deliver services to citizens. Two of the most influential models of governance are traditional public administration and NPM ([Broadbent & Guthrie, 1992](#); [Gendron et al., 2001](#)). Traditional public administration-led management control focuses on compliance, regularities, maintenance and control (also described as the Weberian state, see [Pollitt & Boukaert, 2011](#)). The main characteristics of this concept are a

strong division between political and bureaucratic responsibilities and rules-based controls, as well as steering of and accountability for inputs. In contrast, NPM rests on 'market-based controls' to achieve efficiency, effectiveness and cost savings and streamline the public sector (Hood, 1991; Pollitt, 2001). From this perspective, the autonomization of public units and their exposure to market discipline are thought to be a better vehicle than centralized bureaucratic arrangements for delivering public services. The central theme of NPM is to make managers accountable, by allowing managers discretionary authority to reach their performance targets, and then measuring, monitoring and reporting on performance indicators in order to determine whether the intended outcomes have been attained (Gendron et al., 2001; Morales et al., 2014). Nevertheless, recent studies show that NPM under neoliberalism has eroded values typical to the traditional public sector by offering room for business-like practices, including forms of manipulation, and thus damaging processes of democratic control; moreover, its scope has been extended from public service delivery to state administration (Morales et al., 2014). Studies have also noted the growing influence of neoliberalism, where the strong presence of the state (rather than the absentee state) commonly protects big businesses and promotes markets (Harvey, 2005; Morales et al., 2014; Peck, 2004).

The NPM doctrine spread initially to rich countries and later to EEs in different shapes and forms, whilst retaining core principles such as cost savings, market discipline and autonomization of public entities (Gendron et al., 2001; Pollitt & Bouckaert, 2000). Several reasons are cited in the literature for the NPM shift in the public management control model. These include the changing agendas of the right-wing parties of Thatcher and Reagan, a response to fiscal stress and the macroeconomic policies adopted by governments during that period, the public perception of accountability, the role of business schools, accounting and management consulting firms in popularizing managerial ideology, and so on (Broadbent & Guthrie, 1992; Gendron et al., 2001; Hood, 1995).

EEs have their own idiosyncratic political and cultural conditions. Their unique circumstances, such as financial dependence on donor agencies, the influence of international politics and their relative political instability, are critical in the examination of EEs' public management, and how the latter changes over time (Annisette, 2004; Hopper et al., 2009). Given the financial dependence of EEs, the role of the World Bank's development ideology has had a strong bearing on the nature of MA and control practices of public-sector organizations in these countries. International political events, such as the end of the Cold War with what seemed like a decisive victory of the capitalist West over the socialist block, gave impetus to privatization and NPM-related reforms in EEs through the involvement of international and multilateral financial and donor agencies.

The imposition of 'development discourses' in EEs and the way in which development discourses were constructed, contested and communicated by the World Bank to various actors/institutions in the development field have been debated in the development literature (Bebbington, Guggenheim, Olson, & Woolcock, 2004; Stiglitz, 2002). Studies have demonstrated how the hegemonic relationship between the World Bank, transnational organizations and the intellectual community have contributed to the transfer of the World Bank's ideologies and discourses at the national and local government and community levels (e.g. Bebbington et al., 2004; Stiglitz, 2002). Similarly, some accounting studies have studied the role of accounting rhetoric and techniques in the discourses conveyed by the World Bank and the IMF (Neu, Gomez, Ponce de Leon, & Zepeda, 2002; Neu, Gomez, Graham, & Heincke, 2006; Neu & Ocampo, 2007).

Drawing on the World Bank's development reports over the last four decades, Jayasinghe & Uddin (2010) identify three distinct development discourses: state-led development, market-led development and localization-led development. Each discourse demands a new 'ideal type' of MA model (World Bank, 1978, 1981, 1999). Jayasinghe and Uddin (2010) argue that these development discourses are organized around different theories and ontologies of development, and so contain a number of overlapping concepts and assumptions. For instance, although the 'market-directed' and 'globalization and localization' periods are based mainly on two different (but related) sub-concepts – 'free market' and 'equity and

Table 6
Development discourses and 'ideal' management accounting Model.

Development discourses	'Ideal' management accounting model
State-led Development	Traditional Public Administration
Macro-economic planning to co-ordinate and maximise development via state ownership	Centralised and rational/legally oriented budgeting and equitable resource allocations to ministries, departments/corporations through public treasury
State becomes the agent of development relying on state capital	Public sector auditing and accountability through financial reporting to state bodies
Market-led Development	NPM Model
Diminish the role of state in economy and weaken political interventions	Emphasis on result-oriented budgeting and market-based resource allocations, pricing
Maximise development via reforms and private ownership and improved performance through business-like planning and control	Private sector style performance measurement, auditing and accountability via external reporting to shareholders
Localisation-led development	NPM Model
Diminished role of state but building social capital, and removing social barriers that excluded women, ethnic and racial groups and social disadvantaged	Result-oriented, market-driven but delegated and participatory approach to budgeting and resource allocations
Create economic opportunities and power through equitable growth, better access to markets, and expanded assets.	Emphasis on wider accountability via reporting to various stakeholders, such as donors, state and civil society

empowerment/social capital' respectively – they are essentially framed by the same neoliberalist ideology (Mawdsley & Rigg, 2002, 2003; Mitlin, Hickey, & Bebbington, 2007; Stiglitz, 2002).

As a result of their analysis of the World Bank's development reports, Jayasinghe and Uddin (2010) argue that development discourses have used specific accounting languages and rhetoric in their prescriptions of development to borrower countries. Ideological shifts in donor agencies (post-Cold War era) with new accounting rhetoric brought about changes to governance and MA models in public-sector and other institutions in EEs. Their paper further shows that articulations and re-articulations of development discourses communicated by accounting rhetoric failed to grasp the real complexity of local problems and gave way to new development discourses and accounting models. Inspired by Hopper et al. (2009), our paper draws on Jayasinghe and Uddin's (2010) work on changing development discourses and accounting rhetoric to categorize MA research in the public sector, and provides an understanding of the shift in public-sector MA models in EEs, including the identification of future research issues. Table 6 summarizes the different forms of development discourse and 'ideal'-type MA depicted in development reports and previous studies.

A brief explanation of Table 6 will suffice here, as each discourse will be further discussed, illustrated and analyzed in the reviews in Section 5. The state-led development discourse before the 1980s, emphasizing the state as the main player in achieving economic progress, demanded rational and legally-based budgeting systems. The market-led development discourse, which started in the 1980s, criticized the role of the state and viewed a free market and privatization as fundamental to the development of EEs. This discourse demanded market-based budgeting and pricing informed by full costing, and managerial technologies such as performance-based budgeting, which were expected to make the public sector more business-like. Finally, the localization discourse (an offshoot of market-led development) within neoliberal ideology seemed to emphasize local empowerment in EEs, which required accounting tools such as participative budgeting and mechanisms for horizontal accountability. Nevertheless, Jayasinghe and Uddin's (2010) prognosis regarding the shift in development discourses (from state-led to market-led to localized development) was gloomy: the implementation of ideal-type MA models underlined by relevant development discourses was shaped by contradictions and conflicts that fuelled political struggles nationally and within the public sector. The assumed foundations of idealized regimes of control were lacking in EEs, leading to unanticipated consequences for public-sector MA systems. This is in sharp contrast to the claims of development discourses propagated by donor agencies. The assumption is that 'ideal' management controls, as depicted in Table 6, follow the respective development agendas. While economists tend to overlook organizational studies examining whether controls actually operate on reforms as predicted (Uddin & Hopper, 2003), in some accounting studies structural adjustment programmes are investigated as embedded in their organizational contexts, as will be demonstrated in the review. Drawing on the literature review, this paper seeks to examine the extent to which PSMA studies reflect different development discourses.

From the above perspective, this paper sets out to achieve the following, which can be seen as an elaboration of the mainly descriptive research questions introduced in Section 1. First, the distinct development discourses and associated 'ideal' MA models will enable us to classify the MA research and understand the transformation of MA practices in EEs. Second, a significant number of studies has found that public-sector organizations operating in EEs have unique historical, cultural and political circumstances, and these have a bearing on MA models and their uses (Alawattage et al., 2007). Our framework for reviewing PSMA research in EEs provides broad explanations of MA changes arising from social, economic and political factors and the influence of donor agencies. It will also help us to explain why such changes have often not lived up to expectations. We do not claim that this framework is conclusive and applicable only to EEs; rather, it provides a development discourse perspective to make sense of MA transformations in the public sector. Third, it provides us with a lens to critically evaluate the way in which research has addressed these public-sector MA practices, and to identify possible gaps in the existing body of academic knowledge. The next section further elaborates on development discourses and considers them in light of the findings of our reviews.

5. Reviews and analysis

5.1. State-led development, traditional public administration and management accounting

The state-led development discourse saw state mechanisms as a panacea for economic progress. This development ideology had strong theoretical foundations in Keynesian economics. The World Bank advocated a way of developing an economy through industrialization/modernization, in particular by establishing import-substituting industries and developing a state-led public welfare structure (World Bank, 1978, 1979). More importantly, the state was seen as the centre of action, heavily involved in financing and managing large commercial and industrial enterprises and governmental bodies. Financed and supported by Western countries, donor agencies such as the World Bank were actively promoting state-led development in EEs.

Traditional public administration was regarded by donor agencies and Western countries as an effective way of running a large public sector. A rational/legalistic and centralized accounting framework is part and parcel of the traditional public administration model (Adam & Bevan, 2005). Rational/legalistic MA controls were seen as a way to control resources and steer national economic plans (Adam & Bevan, 2005; Jayasinghe & Uddin, 2010), and were not unique to EEs (Hopper et al., 2009). This 'ideal' MA framework was expected to deal with public-sector governance through rational/legally-oriented budgeting, equitable resource allocations, and public-sector auditing and accountability through financial reporting to state bodies, as shown in Table 6 (World Bank, 1998). Thus, a key question is whether the rational/legal accounting framework materialized in its 'ideal' form in the public sector in EEs. This is explored below.

First, studies of the positive roles that rational and legalistic MA controls might play in the public sector and the economic development of EEs are discussed. These studies largely support the legalistic and centralized role of MA in enhancing the state role in economic development. This is followed by a discussion of studies that have raised concerns about how the rational/legalistic control framework is influenced by complex structural and agential factors, including politics and powerful actors, cultural orientations and over-regulation. These studies point to a mismatch between the conditions required for rational/legalistic MA and the actuality of EEs. Finally, symbolic uses of controls resulting from an imbalance between the expectations and realities of MA frameworks are discussed.

5.1.1. Rational/legalistic accounting framework: a positive note

Belief in a robust, centralized accounting model underpinned by traditional public administration was not only advocated by development agencies but was also strongly supported by early accounting studies (Enthoven, 1982; Ndzingo & Briston, 1999; Seidler, 1967). Centralized budgets were perceived as the basic foundation of planning and control, and also as impartial and effective tools for resource allocation. These studies also urged development policy makers to take note of the potential contributions of accounting to economic development. These early studies were normative and devoid of strong empirical and theoretical foundations. Later studies, which are part of our review, were much more empirically grounded and advocated a number of ways of developing a rational control framework in political contexts with an underdeveloped accounting infrastructure such as in EEs (Gharty, 1985). Maschmeyer and Yang's (1990) study, for example, argued for continuous efforts to maintain a reasonable fit between a responsibility accounting system and the existing strategy and structure of the enterprise, and Scapens and Yan (1993) advocated a modified accounting system, combining state planning and collectivist values as appropriate for Chinese SOEs. Both studies envisaged a strong role for the state, together with a transfer of Western accounting knowledge. Nevertheless, they appear to have somewhat under-appreciated the influence of state politics and local cultural contexts, as argued by some studies explained below (Uddin & Hopper, 2001).

5.1.2. Rational accounting framework and context: mismatched realities

Without denying the benefits that a rational and centralized control model might bring to a large public sector, accounting researchers have scrutinized and raised concerns about actual uses of the rational/legalistic control framework. Some studies have reflected on EEs' contexts generally to examine how they shape/influence the nature of controls. Other studies, drawing on social theories, have focused particularly on culture and politics to demonstrate a mismatch between the expected rational model and actual practices. These are discussed below.

Centralization of management controls is a common feature of the public sector during the state-led development phase (Tsamenyi, Mills, & Tauringana, 2002; Tsamenyi, Bennett, & Black, 2004). However, many studies have raised concerns about over-centralization and ineffectiveness. For instance, Tsamenyi et al. (2004) identified a series of contingent/institutional factors that question the effectiveness of an apparently perfect rational planning and control system. These include economic uncertainty, lack of institutional capacity and untimely, and often irrelevant, accounting reports. In addition, two studies in Bangladesh (Alam, Lawrence, & Nandan, 2004; Hoque & Hopper, 1997) examined causal relationships between environmental factors (political, industrial relations and market competition) and budget-related behaviour in SOEs. Although empirically grounded, these studies anticipated a straightforward relationship between budgets and environmental factors. However, as discussed below, complex issues such as culture, politics and ethnicity are unlikely to be predictable, nor do they have easily measurable relationships with public-sector MA systems (Wickramasinghe & Hopper, 2005).

Wickramasinghe and Hopper (2005) have called for MA, culture and politics to be studied not in isolation but in the context of other complex issues, such as religion, ethnicity and historical factors. Nevertheless, culture has been relatively under-studied in PSMA research. Previous studies of MA have demonstrated the important influence of culture and ethnicity (Hofstede, 1984; Wickramasinghe & Hopper, 2005). Drawing on cultural political economy, Wickramasinghe and Hopper (2005) found a strong influence of local culture in shaping centralized MA practices in an SOE located in a village setting, arguing that village culture and religious obligations overwhelmed bureaucratic controls but fused local politics.

The role of state politics has featured in many studies and has often been studied in conjunction with other socio-economic factors (Hoque & Hopper, 1994; Hoque, Siddiquee, & Hopper, 1994). For instance, Hopper et al. (2001) and Wickramasinghe and Hopper (2005) both found that management controls in Sri Lanka and Bangladesh were captured by the political parties in power and their sponsored trade union parties, and that they, rather than human resources managers, determined workers' pay, leave and promotions. Similar patterns, such as political considerations in rational measures of performance measurement, budgeting and operational decision making, are also evident in the Chinese public sector (Li & Tang, 2009).

5.1.3. Rational accounting framework: symbolic uses

As already indicated by Hopper et al. (2009), a centralized framework of accounting in the context of the dominance of politics and dependence on external resources has often resulted in symbolic applications of budgets and other controls. Three studies, drawing on in-depth case studies and social theories, have highlighted the ceremonial, rule-bound and largely ineffective nature of controls (Alam, 1997; Alam & Lawrence, 1994; Jones & Sefiane, 1992). Jones and Sefiane (1992) found that accounting information in SOEs in Algeria was prepared for external agencies and annual expenditure aggregation, and was not used to assist planning or operational decision making and control. Similarly, studies by Alam and Lawrence (1994) and Alam (1997), drawing on institutional and contingency theories, claimed that public-sector corporations in Bangladesh saw the budgeting process as a way of complying with external authorities' requirements, rather than facilitating internal management controls.

In summary, the state-led development discourse saw the state as the centre of development; hence, the state was involved in all enterprises, including those producing private and/or public goods. Nevertheless, it brought about major control problems. A number of studies found that bureaucratic controls resulted in rule-bound and ceremonial practices. Politicians used formal mechanisms to secure legitimacy from external bodies. Managers craved greater budget participation but ended up colluding with trade union leaders to maintain production. Thus, state-led development rarely brought about the expected outcomes. We argue that this is not simply because of an unsuitable accounting framework, but is a result of the complex socio-economic, cultural and political factors at play here.

5.2. Market-led development, NPM and management accounting

The 1980s and 1990s saw a distinctive shift in the World Bank's development discourse (World Bank, 1981, 1982). 'Free market' and 'private ownership' replaced 'welfare' and 'public ownership' in development agendas. The state was seen as an obstacle to, rather than an engine of, economic growth. This was perhaps due to an apparent lack of anticipated progress in economic development, the perceived poor performance of the public sector and the subsidies required to run essential public services (Alawattage & Wickramasinghe, 2008). Nevertheless, donors adopted a new mantra of structural adjustment programmes that prompted reduced subsidies and wide-ranging reforms to the public sector. Injections of private management controls into the public sector, one of many reforms, were expected to produce improved public goods and services. Clearly, donors were relying on markets to weed out political intervention and patronage, hoping that it would result in better economic development in EEs.

The market-led development discourse promoted specific MA tools similar to those used in the private sector (Jayasinghe & Uddin, 2010; Neu, Silva, & Ocampo Gomez, 2008). MA became central to NPM-like reforms, particularly in the form of performance management and budgeting (as part of results-oriented controls), as well as market-based pricing of services (Allen, 2009; World Bank, 2003a, 2003b). Being financed by donor agencies, these reforms were often executed by management consulting and accounting firms and professional bodies (ACCA (Association of Chartered Certified Accountants), 2010; Ashraf & Uddin, 2013). Changes in the development discourse and the arrival of NPM are reflected in PSMA research in EEs. Some accounting studies welcomed NPM-style reforms, while others raised serious concerns. As shown in Table 6, 'ideal' MA controls underpinned by the NPM doctrine include market-driven performance measurement, budgeting and pricing in the public sector. The expectation of these reforms was, of course, the production of improved public goods and services, resulting in better economic development in EEs. Thus, a key question is whether the expected MA systems materialized in the public sector in EEs. This is explored below.

First, descriptive studies of the impact of NPM reforms are examined. These studies largely support NPM ideals and their impact on MA. Next, studies raising concerns about the applicability of NPM are discussed. These studies have questioned the assumptions made by the market-led development discourse and NPM in bringing about MA changes in the public sector. Various issues are discussed, including contextual differences, politics, culture, and the influence of lenders' accounting criteria. Finally, studies recommending a 'basics first' approach to recognizing contextual differences in EEs are scrutinized.

5.2.1. NPM and private-sector management styles: a welcome change?

Several studies have presented accounts of changes that have occurred in the public sector in EEs since the donor-driven market agenda and the adoption of a Western-centric MA model. Many of these studies have advocated unquestioning adoption of private-sector management styles in the public sector (Ireland, McGregor, & Saltmarshe, 2003; Saltmarshe, Ireland, & McGregor, 2003; Serra, 2005). For instance, Hong's (1991) study in Singapore presents a descriptive account of how the new system generated apparently 'relevant' information for decision making. Similarly, three Malaysian studies also indicate the wholesale adoption of private-sector styles of performance measurement systems in the public sector (Chiu, 1997; Shafie, 1996; Tooley, Hooks, & Basnan, 2010). All of these studies are mechanistic in nature and, more importantly, offer no critical reflections on whether MA changes contributed to better service delivery to the public, as intended.

Western-centric private-sector controls have not always been adopted wholesale in the public sector, as reported by some Chinese studies (Chu & Rask, 2002). Lin and Yu's (2002) case study of a large Chinese steel producer illustrates these ideas. Driven by market forces of increasing competition, this SOE adopted Western accounting practices, including responsibility accounting and performance management systems, but, rather than mechanically copying these practices, it adapted them to the local context by giving attention to other relevant goals, such as achieving production targets and appropriate labour conditions. This is perhaps understandable given the particular nature of the Chinese state. However, another study (Firth, 1996) has indicated that foreign joint ventures with Chinese SOEs are inclined to adopt Western MA tools more straightforwardly.

The above studies have mainly investigated the adoption of the NPM repertoire in the public sector, but its applicability and outcomes have often remained unexamined. Such adoption has been seen as inevitable and appropriate, leaving no space for questioning. Andrews' (2012) study sought to tackle the question of reform appropriateness, and concluded that EEs with similar contexts to Western countries are suitable for adopting NPM reforms (see also Roberts, 2004; Whiteman, 2012). However, basic structural conditions that enable private-sector management styles to work in the public sector, such as developed markets, democratic regulatory bodies and an appropriate justice system, have remained unexamined. In addition, the above studies ignore micro-processes of control, politics and culture that shape MA controls. These are further discussed below.

5.2.2. NPM and private-sector management styles: unrealistic?

A significant number of studies has been sceptical about the reforms. This scepticism has been reflected in review papers and case studies conducted in various countries. Some studies have reflected on the general context of EEs and have questioned the appropriateness of the Western-centric private-sector management repertoire (as the main recommendation of NPM). Some studies, drawing on social theories, have focused specifically on culture and politics to demonstrate the unrealistic assumptions of NPM-driven MA tools in the public sector.

Review/policy papers from several countries question the lack of supporting structures necessary for NPM. Lack of political commitment, overreliance on a 'big bang' (as opposed to a 'basics first') approach, inability to handle reforms, informality and clientelism have been considered to be the main structural obstacles to the introduction of NPM reforms such as results-based management (de Renzio, 2006; Mimba, Helden, van & Tillema, 2007; Roberts, 2004). Lack of accounting infrastructure has also been identified as a critical element in reforms (Dollery & Graves, 2009; Iyoha & Oyerinde, 2010). Similarly to the above review papers, several empirical studies have emerged with insightful findings about how local structural conditions counteract the implementation of private MA tools in the public sector. These include a traditional administrative culture, economic and natural calamities such as economic uncertainty and drought, and a governmental structure dominated by central government (Mserembo & Hopper, 2004; Sulle, 2010; Xavier, 1996). For instance, Mserembo and Hopper (2004) argue that structural conditions in Malawi, such as AIDS, the presence of many refugees, drought and flood, and a lack of trained and adequately rewarded staff, severely hindered the implementation of a planning, programming and budgeting system (PPBS).

Relying on solid theoretical foundations, such as variants of institutional theories combining institutional pressures and agency, Hassan (2005, 2008) and Kholeif, Abdel-Kader, & Sherer (2007), have demonstrated clashes between traditional government principles and intended NPM-driven changes. Hassan (2005, 2008) explored linkages between MA (and especially costing) systems and corporate governance changes in the Egyptian hospital sector, showing that the co-existence of NPM reforms and traditional governance principles may bring about confusion and resistance; that is, certain cost components in a full-cost system were disregarded, not only to keep cost-based tariffs low and to safeguard the public identity of hospitals, but also to serve the financial interests of doctors who earned bonuses related to surplus income, which was higher when tariffs were lower. In a different context, Mimba, Helden, van & Tillema (2013) work demonstrates similar problems. They found conflicting stakeholder pressures in the adoption and use of performance information by Indonesian local governments. These conflicts gave rise to a kind of juggling behaviour, in the sense that managers of agencies within these local governments tried partially to serve conflicting stakeholder interests (see also Tillema, Mimba, & van Helden, 2010).

The above research has demonstrated that the necessary structural conditions for an 'appropriate' adoption of NPM reforms are often absent in EEs. Some studies have provided solid theoretical explanations to capture the apparent mismatch between the ideological basis of Western-centric accounting knowledge and the material context of EEs (Ashraf & Uddin, 2013). These studies have also demonstrated diverging implications of the mismatch, ranging from the non-use or symbolic use of the new MA repertoire (Taylor, 2007; Zan & Xue, 2011), to feelings of confusion about apparently conflicting influences (Hassan, 2005, 2008). In general, the reviewed case-based studies are indicative of the type of research we would like to encourage. However, one concern relates to almost all the reviewed studies: that the link between context-specific circumstances and MA practices requires more in-depth inquiry and theoretical orientation to accomplish a better understanding.

5.2.3. Culture and politics

The influence of culture and politics on NPM-driven MA has featured in many studies (see Ashraf & Uddin, 2013; Sharma, Lawrence, & Fowler, 2012). A number of studies conducted on the African continent has discussed the impact of political interventions on MA practices, despite reforms (see also Marwata & Alam, 2006; Sulle, 2010). Uddin and Tsamenyi's (2005) work has linked politicization with public interests, claiming that budgeting has remained politicized, delayed, directionless and largely ineffective, hampering public interests following the reforms. Tambulasi's (2007) study claims that the politicization of controls worsened as politicians aggressively employed measures to regain control lost to managers as a result of NPM reforms. This is not just an African issue. Political constraints continue to influence production and investment decisions (Skousen & Yang, 1998) and even, in some cases, interfere in the recruitment, promotion and dismissal of employees in Chinese SOEs, despite being exposed to market forces (O'Connor, Deng, & Luo, 2006). Similar conclusions were drawn in another study (Xu & Uddin, 2008) that found that market-based reforms in Chinese SOEs resulted in powerful and wealthy state managers rather than the desired Western-centric, delegated management controls.

Understanding of politics in the context of traditional culture and its impact on MA is rare. This requires in-depth studies with solid theoretical foundations to provide better explanations of MA changes in the context of NPM reforms (Sharma et al., 2012).⁶ In an ethnographic study, Uddin and Hopper (2001) sought to study the micro-processes of controls (on the shopfloor) in relation to wider factional politics that ultimately rendered post-reform rational controls toothless. Sharma et al.'s (2012) study reveals that cultural and political conflicts led to an NPM process being resisted and modified to reduce tension between economic and social relations in a telecommunications company in Fiji. Wickramasinghe and Hopper

⁶ See also a recent paper, beyond the scope of our review period, by Sharma and Lawrence, 2015.

(2005) provide a rich account of how the dominance of a non-capitalistic mode of production in the Sri Lankan economy and traditional cultural orientations led to unworkable and ineffective market-based reforms.⁷

The above reviewed research indicates that expected Western MA changes are difficult to realize in political and cultural conditions that are alien to NPM ideals. Many of these studies have argued that political interventions of state institutions are often at the root of failures of NPM reforms in the public sector. Cultural political economy seems to give insightful pointers toward understanding the impact of political interventions on accounting practices (as in Wickramasinghe & Hopper, 2005). Several of these papers have been based on longitudinal case studies, which provide the opportunity to grasp accounting changes over a long period and their varying impacts at different moments in time. The research would also benefit from other types of theoretical lens. For instance, drawing on Habermasian notions of 'life-world' and 'steering mechanisms', Broadbent and Laughlin's (2005) work has provided detailed accounts of 'unsuccessful' reforms, including theorization of varying degrees of resistance to MA changes in the UK. This may be useful in providing explanations for NPM failures focusing on the cultural conditions of actors (life-world) and the material context (steering mechanisms) of EEs.

5.2.4. Lenders' accounting criteria

A particular aspect of the research theme on the appropriateness of NPM reforms in EEs is the way in which international donor organizations, such as the World Bank, impose specific accounting criteria as a condition for releasing funds (see Adhikari, Kuruppu, & Matilal, 2013 on Nepal and Sri Lanka, and Neu et al., 2008 on Guatemala and Mexico). On the one hand, they have shown, as will be illustrated below, that NPM-like reforms in the public sector have changed the accounting vocabulary, which now emphasizes the values of owners at the expense of the interests of workers, and especially clients, of public-sector services. On the other hand, these studies have shown that some of these reforms demand the use of specific accounting criteria, such as capital budgeting and ROI, to meet the lending requirements of international donor organizations, rather than giving sufficient regard to local circumstances (see Neu et al., 2008; Rahaman, Everett, & Neu, 2007).

Drawing on a negotiated order perspective, Rahaman and Lawrence (2001a, 2001b) have argued that the private-sector accounting systems advocated by international donor organizations, such as the World Bank, were 'successful' in the Volta River authority in Ghana, but analysis of the socio-historic context reveals that the interests of these donors were protected at the expense of the local population, who suffered from high service prices. In a similar vein, Sharma and Lawrence (2005, 2008, 2009) have found that new accounting criteria favoured by donor agencies negatively impacted the housing and telephone sector, in terms of a substantial rise in prices, a reduction in the supply of housing and deterioration of telephone services in rural areas. These studies cover an important, largely neglected niche in PSMA in EEs. For instance, 'accountinization' is a fairly common theme in public-sector research in rich countries (Broadbent & Guthrie, 2008). This particular notion should be given greater importance in EEs, given the imposition of lenders' accounting criteria in assessing public-sector projects. Clearly, very few studies have addressed this concern.

5.2.5. The basics first approach: a way forward?

Many studies have been suspicious of the wholesale adoption of NPM reforms and Western-style management controls in the public sector, but few have recommended taking a 'basics first' approach to recognizing structural constraints in EEs.⁸ A basics first approach – or, more generally, a sequential approach to financial management – assumes that some basic reform elements, such as a reliable accounting system and input control, must precede more advanced reform elements in EEs, such as an integrated financial management system and output control (see, for instance, Dean, 1988).⁹ Several studies have pointed to the importance of the basics first notion.

Two studies have welcomed the basics first idea rather than the full-blown changes often entailed by donor agency reforms. Bietenhader and Bergmann (2010) argue that sequential approaches have been seen as helpful, although an overly technical focus and universally applicable sequencing should be avoided. They also argue that certain structural conditions are required, including political commitment, a long-term vision, country ownership of reforms, and the building of institutional capacities. Roberts (2004) calls for a refinement of the sequencing idea, on the grounds that not so much a basic, but a mediocre development of budgeting and accounting tools contributes to more advanced forms of public-sector financial management. However, Roberts (2004) also sees political instability and excessive informality as hindrances to results-oriented expenditure management. Both studies appear to acknowledge that the applicability of the basics first approach depends on appropriate structural conditions, but they offer no explanations as to how these necessary structural conditions will materialize.

Several studies have raised serious concerns about the basics first approach. In a comparative study involving five different countries, Andrews (2006) does not find a strong relationship between some basics first budgeting elements (line item controls, cash controls, financial reports, compliance audits) and performance-based budgeting reforms. Although international

⁷ Yang and Modell's (2015) study, which is beyond our review period, points to Western-style management control ideas, including financial accountability and performativity of units within a SOE, being blended with or partly frustrated by traditional ideas about control emphasizing collectivistic values.

⁸ Contextual differences in this approach relate mainly to available resources or capabilities for adopting certain accounting tools, although historical factors (e.g. a particular colonial background) may be influential.

⁹ This idea, going back more than 25 years (Dean, 1988), acknowledged a need for step-by-step reform. This way of thinking culminated in the so-called 'basics first' approach.

comparative studies such as that of Andrews are subject to criticism, their conclusions are similar to some single-country studies. Drawing on Dean's (1988) ideas on evolution in government systems, Olowo-Okere and Tomkins' (1998) study is critical of the sequencing approach in the context of the Nigerian central government, acknowledging that sequencing may have some relevance for the longer term, while contextual factors, such as political instability and commitment, and external pressure (from donors) explain short-term variations. Peterson (2011) challenges the basics first approach even more fundamentally. Drawing on Ethiopian experience, he calls for 'plateau thinking', building on the existing context and the domestic resources available rather than climbing a summit to follow the risky and irrelevant 'highlights' of international best practice.

It is clear from these studies that the basics first approach can be seen as an offshoot of NPM ideals but takes a slightly softer approach to reforms. The fundamental problem remains, which is that the contextual conditions required for even a basics first approach are mostly missing in EEs. Longitudinal and in-depth studies with solid theoretical orientations, such as that of Olowo-Okere and Tomkins (1998), are needed to subject concepts such as the basics first approach to further scrutiny.

In summary, the market-led development discourse saw the market as the centre of development, hence the attempt to bring private-sector management styles (be they NPM or a basics first approach) into the public sector. Various market-oriented technologies have been suggested to replace traditional public administration, ranging from full costing and market pricing for service delivery to forms of decentralized control accommodated by performance-based budgeting and facilitated by accrual accounting systems. Many studies have questioned the appropriateness of these market-oriented MA technologies in EEs. Although some studies have provided positive accounts of NPM reforms, many studies raise serious concerns about the adoption of NPM-like MA practices in EEs. First, the structural conditions needed for private-sector management styles are often lacking, resulting in confusion, resistance and symbolic use of controls. Second, cultural orientations and politics remain an important control element in the public sector, leading to market-based controls not living up to their expectations and, in some cases, even worsening public service delivery. Third, the basics first approach has been seen by some researchers and consultants as unachievable under certain structural conditions such as political instability and commitment, lack of technical/professional capacities and under-developed institutions. Drawing on Hopper et al. (2009) and Jayasinghe and Uddin (2010), we argue that interventions by donor agencies remain detached from local culture and politics. Rational accounting knowledge and vocabularies, borrowed from Western settings, are unlikely to produce the desired impact in the context of complex local socio-economic, cultural and political factors in EEs. Many studies have attempted to understand these interactions, but more in-depth studies drawing on social theories are needed.

5.3. Localization-led development, NPM and management accounting

Over the last decade, the economic logic of development based on structural transformational politics (such as privatization and state budget reforms) has been reinventing itself as a social or civic logic of development. This new agenda has been labelled the 'globalization and localization' development strategy for EEs (World Bank, 1999, 2003a, 2003b). As an offshoot of market-led development within neoliberal ideology, this discourse continues to emphasize 'free market' and 'private ownership'. However, it also places additional focus on the localization of public services¹⁰ and empowerment/social capital by developing micro enterprises and poverty alleviation projects (World Bank, 2000).

Policy discourses based on the notion of 'empowerment/social capital' and 'localization' as drivers of development have become popular among international development funding agencies such as the World Bank, IMF, OECD and the Asian Development Bank (see Dasgupta & Serageldin, 2001; Putnam, Leonardi, & Nanetti, 1994). The institutional implications of this policy reorientation are significant. First, localization of public services is considered to be a crucial element in social development, such as the enhancement of a grassroots democracy, eradicating racial, ethnic and gender discrimination, eliminating corruption, and promoting social entrepreneurship (Dasgupta & Serageldin 2001). Second, under the development bandwagon of empowering civil societies, NGOs have become some of the most important institutional and accountability vehicles for making a viable connection between economy, polity and civil society. Third, microfinance is seen as an important financing mode for the achievement of wider development goals. The World Bank, the Asian Development Bank and many other international development agencies, as well as national governments, have recognized microfinance as a central pillar in their rural development strategies.

Taken together, these policy reorientations have reconstructed various social spaces, for example 'local communities', as 'developmental spaces' to be organized and managed through various governance and accountability technologies (see Alawattage, Wickramasinghe, & Tennakoon, 2014). This trajectory of development is interesting for MA scholars because it has demanded new forms of accounting controls in the public sector. As Alawattage, Graham, and Wickramasinghe (2015) have argued, accountability is no longer a phenomenon to be understood as enacted through the formal channels of organizational relationships; instead, 'micro-accountabilities', which hold individuals convivially accountable to each other in their local settings, must be recognized as a central tool for social governance under neoliberalism, and as a strong means of integrating EE societies into the global neoliberal economy. Localized control of public services and empowering locals in decision making, as well as the complete decentralization of state activities, form the pillars of this new development theme. Markets remain at the centre of development, but further decentralization and participation are explicitly proposed.

¹⁰ This notion of localization has also influenced richer countries. The notion of 'localism' in public services has been an important discourse in public service, enacted as a law by the UK parliament in 2011.

The normative intention of such initiatives has often been identified as the construction of a more democratic, participative and social form of accountability to facilitate an inclusive and participatory mode of development. Development studies have examined the impact of participatory modes of development on economy and society in EEs. [Prahalad \(2006\)](#) comments that, despite some clear positive contributions to microfinance and NGOs as civil society-based development strategies in EEs, they have not been much more than neoliberal modes of ‘fracking the bottom of the pyramid’. Relatively few accounting studies have assessed the impact of localization on the public sector. These are discussed below.

As shown in [Table 6](#), a localization strategy promotes a particular set of MA practices, such as participatory budgeting, a wider economic impact within local-level institutions and wider accountability, specifically aimed at encouraging downward accountability. Thus, a key question is whether these ‘ideal’ mechanisms have indeed materialized. The notion of citizen participation in decision making is not new in the public sector. It was first designed, practised and driven by the local population of a Brazilian city – Porto Alegre – 20 years ago ([Santos, 1998](#)).¹¹ Relatively recently, external development agencies such as the World Bank have adopted this notion in their development discourse and globalized what is called the “post-Porto Alegre perspective” ([Uddin, Gumb, & Kasumba, 2011](#)). Two early studies ([Dodoo, 1997](#); [Khan, Thornton, & Frazer, 1999](#)) published in public administration journals provide evidence of this initiative. Both studies, relying on secondary documents and interviews, argue for a step-by-step approach, illustrating a series of ‘success stories’ in which the participation of all relevant stakeholders is considered to be crucial.

Very few accounting studies have so far dealt with the impact of localization on MA in the public sector. This is perhaps partly because the localization discourse is relatively new, and the development agendas of donor agencies have a delayed impact on reforms in EEs. In total, we have found only five studies examining a participatory budgeting approach, three of them from Uganda. For instance, [Awio and Northcott \(2001\)](#) and [Awio, Lawrence, and Northcott \(2007\)](#) provide interesting accounts of the participatory budgeting approach adopted by community-led HIV/AIDS initiatives in Uganda, in which lower-level health sector managers were motivated to participate in budgeting processes, which in turn reportedly enhanced their productivity in their managerial roles. This is perhaps understandable, given the voluntary and noble aspects of this project. [Uddin et al.’s \(2011\)](#) work is less enthusiastic about the prospects of participatory budgeting. Having examined a newly-implemented participatory budgetary process in local government in Kampala, Uganda, they argue that participatory budgeting practices in Ugandan local government are superficial and ceremonial. [Johardji and Willoughby’s \(2014\)](#) work is also sceptical of participatory budgetary approaches. They observe that the bottom-up budgeting approach used in Saudi Arabian central government has led to overstatement of the spending requirements of line ministries. [Cuenca Botey and Célérier \(2015\)](#) investigated participatory budgeting in Porto Alegre, Brazil, and observed that it enables upward social changes for key players in the field, especially councillors, but at the same widens the social gap between them and other participants, such as ordinary citizens.¹²

Localized development and the role of participation and wider accountability in MA controls have been under-scrutinized.¹³ In summary, the empirical trajectories appear mixed. Nevertheless, it is clear that donor agencies have viewed the qualified success of market-based development mainly as a technical problem, and have recommended further decentralization, leading to participation in the localization-led development discourse. Accounting researchers have plenty of scope to contribute to this area by questioning the notion of ‘participation’ in traditional contexts such as EEs. As [Jayasinghe and Uddin \(2010\)](#) argue, rather than fine-tuning the form of socio-economic, legal and institutional accountability envisaged by the neoliberal development paradigm of the World Bank, localized and participatory approaches have, in practice, tended to reproduce patronage politics and social inequalities. Without denying the need for more in-depth studies, we argue that the intentions of this shift in development rationale and the related MA repertoire are questionable, since institutions remain under-developed and non-capitalistic modes of production remain, while cultural orientations still clash with ideals of Western controls. Overall, the myopic focus on management doctrines driven by the ideology of donor agencies remains contested.

6. Concluding remarks and ways forward

Let us return to the two simple questions posed in Section 1. What do we know and what do we need to know about MA in the public sector in EEs? In order to answer these questions, we formulated three aims for our study in Section 4. Drawing on [Hopper et al. \(2009\)](#) and [Jayasinghe and Uddin \(2010\)](#), we have sought to categorize research papers to gain a broader understanding of transformations of MA practices in the public sector in the context of changing development discourses. Furthermore, we have sought to understand why these changes have often not lived up to expectations. This has also provided us with a perspective from which to evaluate the current research and set out future research agendas in the area.

¹¹ One feature of public administration in Porto Alegre is the adoption of a system of popular participation in deciding budgets. The first fully participatory budgeting process was developed in the city from 1989. It was not initiated or advised by any external agencies but was driven by local demands.

¹² This paper was published in 2015, so is formally beyond our review period.

¹³ In a recent publication, [Harun, Van-Peursem, and Eggleton \(2015\)](#) show that the history of centralization and the culture of apathy and submissiveness in Indonesia inhibit public-sector reforms aiming to strengthen accountability and participation at the local governmental level.

6.1. What do we know?

PSMA has been receiving increasing attention from researchers, consultants and policy makers. This is partly due to the important role played by the public sector in the economic development of EEs and the transformations that this sector has been going through over the last two decades. EE development modes have shifted from state-led to market-led. Recently, a localized-led development mode has emerged. Each of these development modes has given rise to distinctive types of MA practices. During the state-led period, public sectors were closely linked with national plans and were based on rational accounting discourses, such as resource allocations through a centralized budgeting system. However, the rational and centralized accounting framework caused serious governance and implementation problems. Its main concern was to maintain compliance with budget limits and financial regulations, rendering the budget ineffective in light of changing realities.

This development mode was then replaced by a market-oriented development mode, inspiring NPM reforms in the public sectors in EEs. Donor agencies persuaded EEs to adopt public-sector reforms as a condition for receiving loans. Accounting doctrines such as efficiency, decentralization and transparency were the underlying themes of the new management tools and styles of the public sector in EEs. As many studies have reported, the changes dominated by these private-sector accounting technologies often failed to achieve the desired outcomes. It has been claimed that market-based doctrines pay little attention to underlying contextual differences between developed countries and EEs. Studies have pointed out that EEs lack the institutional mechanisms and structural conditions necessary for private management styles to operate effectively.

Donor agencies have, to some extent, recognized the limited success of private management doctrines. Development discourses have recently taken a new turn, injecting the notion of 'empowerment/social capital' and 'localization' into neoliberal ideology. This development mode emphasizes more local participation and empowerment in decision-making processes and resource allocations. Studies have shown that localization ideas informed by accounting rhetoric, such as a bottom-up approach, seem to play an important role in newly-reformed public sectors. Nevertheless, the little research conducted on this topic has indicated that participatory budgetary approaches are at risk of being captured by powerful stakeholders.

The above summary reveals that the EE context is distinctive, although some of its elements also apply to rich countries. The studies reviewed identify various EE-specific contextual elements, such as political instability, distinctive modes of production, dependency on donor agencies, lack of institutional capacities and underdeveloped markets. These circumstances are understood to have caused implementation problems for Western-centric reforms in the public sectors in EEs. As we have observed, EEs have gone through various modes of development, resulting in complex socio-economic and socio-political scenarios in their public sectors. The changing nature of the development discourses is quite revealing and has had a direct bearing on the nature of MA practices in these countries.

In our review, we have found serious indications that, in all three development modes in EEs, the mismatch between structural realities and imported MA knowledge has produced controls that are often symbolic. For example, NPM, considered as a package consisting of various reform elements, has quite often appeared to be unsuitable for EEs, and its adoption has sometimes been merely ceremonial in order to meet the lending requirements of international donor organizations (see Allen, 2009, pp. 11–16). We therefore argue that, in studying NPM-like financial management reforms in EEs, it is crucial to take the complex local contexts of these countries into consideration (Polidano, 1999). This issue will be elaborated in the next section, but first we provide an outline of the distinctive contributions of our review.

Our literature evaluation builds on earlier reviews by Abdul-Rahaman et al. (1997) and Hopper et al. (2009). In their analysis of public sector accounting in developing countries over the period 1970–1995, Abdul-Rahaman et al. (1997) question the implicit assumption made by many empirical studies that public-sector accounting should follow the same route in these countries as in developed countries, an assumption they call the 'Eurocentrism' of public-sector accounting research (Abdul-Rahaman et al., 1997, p. 58). They further argue that empirical research in this field suffers from a lack of theorization going beyond the mainly generic line of reasoning about stage-wise economic development. Their plea is for research that captures socio-political contexts using alternative theories. Our review extends these observations in several respects. Firstly, having reviewed empirical research from the past two decades, we see a pattern in which the ideas of international donor institutions about the development and control of EEs' public sectors have instigated multiple, distinct research agendas. Put differently, Eurocentrism or Western-centrism has shown various faces over time. Secondly, we were fortunately confronted with more theoretical pluralism than Abdul-Rahaman et al. (1997) encountered in their review, in that we observed some adoption of institutional, social and critical theories. However, there remains a need for further theoretically informed research that endeavours to explore and understand MA practices in EEs' public sectors, in ways that take better account of the specificities of these settings.

Our literature review has also drawn on Hopper et al.'s (2009) assessment of MA research in less developed countries by adapting their framework for analysing research to the public sector, including the influences of international donor institutions. Based on Uddin and Hopper's (2001) factory regimes and Wickramasinghe and Hopper's (2005) cultural political economy, Hopper et al. (2009) identify five control regimes: colonial despotism, state capitalism, politicized state capitalism, market capitalism, and politicized market capitalism. All these regimes involve a range of micro- and macro-processes of control. Inspired by this model, while focusing mainly on Jayasinghe and Uddin's (2010) work on changing development discourses, we make a distinction between state-led, market-led and localized-led development discourses and their implications for idealized MA practices. Therefore, our review resonates with Hopper et al.'s call for greater insight into the ways in which powerful international institutions influence the accounting and control practices of less developed countries (Hopper et al., 2009, p. 498). We also concur with their plea for a review of public-sector accounting research that

selects papers not only from accounting journals but also from public administration journals (Hopper et al., 2009, 471–472 pp.). Several findings of Hopper et al.'s review resemble ours, especially concerning the importance of traditional cultures, politics, low institutional capacity and dependence on external finance in shaping MA practices. This specific context, which differs from those of rich countries, needs to be core to research on MA in both private and public sectors in EEs. While Hopper et al. call for more research utilizing a variety of research methods, including quantitative research based on surveys (Hopper et al., 2009, p. 497), we explicitly advocate qualitative research. Survey data are often less appropriate for unravelling the interplay between MA practices and the specific contexts of EEs' public sectors, including complex phenomena such as traditional culture, ethnicity, informality, patronage and corruption (Everett, Neu, & Rahaman, 2007).

6.2. What do we need to know? Reflections and directions for future research

A major outcome of our review is that local context matters. Whilst this does not apply exclusively to EEs (Lapsley, 2008), it is particularly important. The main reason is that EEs are confronted with public-sector reforms sponsored by donor agencies. These reforms often include structural conditions extant in developed economies that cannot be taken for granted in EEs, such as well-developed accounting professions, well-developed capital and labour markets, and well-resourced judicial systems and regulatory bodies. Our review clearly indicates that public-sector reforms in EEs often fail through insufficient regard for local circumstances and implementation problems in these countries (for example, Andrews, 2012; Mserembo & Hopper, 2004; Mimba et al., 2013; Roberts, 2004). In particular, cultural-political circumstances (e.g. citizens' loyalty to certain communities, families, tribal structures or religions, and consequent political patronage) may be at odds with reform pressures. We advocate types of research, also indicated by papers in our review (for instance, de Renzio, 2006; Olowo-Okere & Tomkins, 1998), that not only focus on the gap between idealized and actual MA practices, but also consider the influences of institutional settings and structural conditions such as economic, political and cultural contexts.

Socio-economic conditions such as poverty and corruption have been identified as important issues to consider in bringing about desired changes in MA in the public sector (Everett et al., 2007; Mserembo & Hopper, 2004; Mimba et al., 2007; Roberts, 2004; Tambulasi, 2007). Similarly, political interventions (mainly through state politics) continue to dominate public-sector controls, reflecting Hopper et al.'s (2009) claim that many accounting problems in EEs are socio-economic and political, not technical. Simple transfers of so-called best-practice accounting models to EEs will remain problematic (Wickramasinghe & Hopper, 2005). Hence, more research is needed on how political processes intertwine with management and accounting controls in the public sector. Traditional cultural orientations have so far been identified by only a few studies (Cuenca Botey & Célérier, 2015; Sharma et al., 2012; Wickramasinghe & Hopper, 2005) as key issues in implementing private-sector-style management in the public sector. We would call for a deeper understanding of culture, combining historical legacy, religious orientations, ethnicity, modes of production, and so on. This would perhaps provide a better understanding of MA and control issues in the public sector in EEs.

While donor influences cannot be ignored, they must be understood in combination with other pressures (e.g. to achieve greater citizen involvement or down-play abuses of power). More research is needed to understand structural impediments to the 'ideal' MA repertoire and how management accounting tools are implemented and used in practice.¹⁴ In this respect, we support types of research that see the new accounting tools not as neutral technicalities but as devices for changing power balances between stakeholders, for example NPM-like reforms that make managers more powerful at the expense of the interests of clients and workers (Ashraf & Uddin, 2015; in this review, see research by Rahaman & Lawrence, 2001a, 2001b; Sharma & Lawrence, 2005, 2008, 2009).

A major concern is that almost half of the research reviewed does not rely on the use of theory. This lack of theorization is more observable in public administration journals (approximately 80%) than in accounting journals (around 30%). Appropriate use of theory enables a deeper understanding of a research problem or question (Jacobs, 2012). Moreover, theorization provides opportunities to develop and increase the academic body of knowledge. Therefore, we advocate theory-informed research on public-sector MA in EEs. The notion of a 'theorized storyline', developed in Golden-Biddle and Locke's (2007) book on qualitative research, may be particularly useful in this respect. Some more specific pointers regarding the desirability of theory use may be derived from our review.

Different branches of institutional theory potentially contribute to understanding MA changes over time that result from the interplay between external pressures (e.g. reforms, or changes in governmental structures) and commonly accepted forms of behaviour, as influenced by cultural and political traditions. As Burns and Scapens have pointed out, MA practices may be produced and reproduced by institutions such as governments, but at the same time such practices also shape these organizations (see Burns & Scapens, 2000; in particular, on NIS and public-sector accounting research, see Modell, 2009). Several of the reviewed papers show how fruitful institutional theorization can be (Andrews, 2012; Hassan, 2005, 2008; Mimba et al., 2013; Sharma & Lawrence, 2008). We would like to encourage research that investigates the dialectics between institutional changes at the field level and MA changes at the micro level embedded in local contextual circumstances. For this purpose, the theoretical views proposed by Dillard, Rigsby, and Goodman (2004) might be considered.

¹⁴ This resonates with Scapens' (1994) idea that studying the gap between actual and abstract-idealized MA practices will insufficiently help us to understand those actual practices, and how they change over time.

In addition, several branches of social and critical theory may be adopted to gain an in-depth understanding of how new vocabularies of accounting are shaping power relations between diverging stakeholders, such as private owners and managers versus clients of services. Examples in our review include the theory of negotiated orders presented in [Rahaman and Lawrence's \(2001a, 2001b\)](#) study, as well as Bourdieu's notions of field, habitus and forms of capital in research by [Rahaman et al. \(2007\)](#) and [Cuenca Botey and C  l  rier \(2015\)](#). Another branch of critical accounting research endeavours to unravel how structures, culture and agency are intertwined, drawing on cultural political economy and critical realism ([Ashraf & Uddin, 2013](#); [Wickramasinghe & Hopper, 2005](#)). Regarding the way in which diverging cultures of groups of agents are embedded in power relations, the ideas of Habermas elaborated by [Broadbent and Laughlin \(2005\)](#) (see also [Ashraf & Uddin, 2011](#)) might also be considered as a useful theoretical perspective for studying the responses of these groups (such as managers and employees) to a particular MA repertoire. We would welcome a continuation and further strengthening of this type of research in investigating the public sectors in EEs ([Sharma & Lawrence, 2015](#)).

As argued before, there is still too little research on the introduction of participatory budgeting in the public sector as a response to new dialogues, especially on issues such as localization/social empowerment. Critically scrutinizing imposed MA technologies through theoretical lenses such as [Debord's \(1967\)](#) spectacle theory and Habermasian analysis might shed more light on how dominant players in the field capture new accounting mechanisms for their own purposes. (Few critical studies of participatory budgeting form part of our review, for example [Johardji & Willoughby, 2014](#); [Uddin et al., 2011](#)).

Our review of PSMA in EEs indicates that qualitative research, especially in the form of case studies, has been dominant. We have already expressed our scepticism about the suitability of surveys in this domain. The case studies in the reviewed research are based almost exclusively on interviews and documents. Our plea is that case-study researchers should rely to a greater extent on observational methods. Given the influence of traditional cultures and politics in the public sector, observing the actual extent to which politicians and managers use accounting information in both formal and informal settings might be a fruitful option (although the attractiveness of observational methods may also apply in a more general sense to Western countries; for this topic, see e.g. [ter Bogt, van Helden, & van der Kolk, 2015](#)). Of course, we acknowledge the possible complications in obtaining access to the relevant podia of decision making. Nevertheless, given the cultural idiosyncrasies in EEs, ethnographic methods of data collection and analysis might be promising instruments in this context.

We also conclude that practice relevance is not a major issue in the research reviewed, although PSMA scholars have repeatedly emphasized the need for and importance of this concept ([Baldvinsdottir, Mitchell, & N  rreklit, 2010](#); [Broadbent & Guthrie, 2008](#); [van Helden & Northcott, 2010](#)). Some papers in our review are mainly descriptive, but may nevertheless be of direct relevance to practitioners (e.g. [Bietenhader & Bergmann, 2010](#); [Dollery & Graves, 2010](#); [Roberts, 2004](#); [Serra, 2005](#)). Other papers are advanced in terms of theorization, but it has been difficult to discern practical implications understandable by lay readers and policy makers (for instance, [Hassan, 2008](#); [Rahaman et al., 2007](#); [Uddin & Tsamenyi, 2005](#)). We acknowledge that practice relevance of research is not a univocal concept because the audiences addressed by research are multiple and are not constrained to a monopoly of practitioners ([Gendron, 2013](#)). Critical research is important, as it focuses on changes arising from public-sector reforms to the power balance between politicians, managers and consultants on the one hand, and workers and clients/citizens on the other hand. Hence, we advocate a broader form of 'practice-relevant' research, including studies of the emancipation of powerless groups, often workers and the general public, and ways in which they are affected by public-sector reforms. However, we need to be aware of potential resistance from powerful players to this type of research ([Gendron, 2000](#)). At the same time, this direction also implies research that investigates how accounting practices can respond to local needs of EEs (see [Hopper & Bui, 2016, p. 6](#)).

As a practical implication of our review, our suggestion to donor agencies is that they should take a closer look at the local context before investing in a particular policy framework. We would also advise them to play a more supportive role in stimulating development by making room for diversity based on differences in historical and political contexts. Localized and indigenous accounting technologies should perhaps be encouraged rather than discarded (see [Jayasinghe and Thomas, 2009](#); [Jayasinghe & Wickramasinghe, 2011](#)). They may, in fact, be preferred to a bombardment of Western-centric accounting tools (see also [Abdul-Rahaman et al., 1997](#); [Allen, 2009, 16–24 pp.](#); [de Renzio, 2006](#)).

Our literature review has signalled that, while public-sector MA research in EEs is emerging, it is still in its infancy. Our review and directions for future research demonstrate that the public sector in EEs provides a challenging setting for MA researchers. However, our advice to researchers, and especially Western researchers, is that they should be open to considering specific EE contexts, and refrain from the idea that Western-centric MA practices might easily be copied in EEs (see also [Allen, 2009, 16–24 pp.](#); [de Renzio, 2006](#)). PSMA research in EEs should recognize that importing Western-centric ideas implies interpretation, adaptation, transformation and resistance.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the comments and suggestions of the two reviewers and CPA editor Yves Gendron, as well as reviews of earlier drafts of this paper by Henk ter Bogt, Trevor Hopper, Christoph Reichard, Umesh Sharma and Sandra Tillema.

Appendix A.

Table A1

Categorisations of papers in this review according to country of origin, management accounting theme, theories, methods of data collection and main findings.

Author(s)	Journal (year)	Country	Management accounting themes	Theories	Methods	Main findings
Adhikari et al. (2013)	AF, 2013	Nepal and Sri Lanka (Asia)	Theme 2 and theme 3	Neo-Institutional Sociology	Multiple case study (two countries)	International donor organisations have put pressure on accounting innovations, such as PPB and accrual accounting, in central governments of Nepal and Sri Lanka, but some of these innovations are only symbolic because they are insufficiently aligned to the capabilities of the accounting profession (indicating a basics first idea). The colonial background of Sri Lanka made the well-educated accounting professionals more active players serving their own interests than in Nepal with its non-colonial background
Alam and Lawrence (1994)	ARA, 1994	Bangladesh (Asia)	Theme 1 and theme 3	Institutional Theory and Contingency Theory	Multiple case study	Confirms existing public sector accounting literature on institutional conformity of public corporations, i.e. the budgeting process is a way of gaining confirmation from external authorities rather than facilitating internal management in public sector organisations
Alam (1997)	MAR, 1997	Bangladesh (Asia)	Theme 1 and theme 3	Institutional Theory and Contingency Theory	Multiple case study	Public corporations may pursue various strategies in a centralised and uncertain environment. While jute mills formulate their budgets to gain legitimacy from external authorities and cannot overcome high uncertainty, sugar mills decouple the budgets for conformity purposes and to deal with uncertainty
Alam et al. (2004)	CPA, 2004	Fiji (Australian pacific)	Theme 1 and theme 5	Social theory, i.e. Giddens on contradiction	Single case study	The social context of colonial countries is unique and, in the case of Fiji, the ethnic segregation of colonial history has had a significant impact even since Fiji became independent
Andrews (2006)	PAD, 2006	5 EEs	Theme 4	No theory	Literature review	The basics first approach is neither confirmed nor rejected in a study of five EEs, because reforms have to be explained around other factors such as political commitment and culture
Andrews (2012)	PAD, 2012	Multi country, 31 African countries	Theme 2 and theme 5	Neo-Institutional Sociology	Documentary analysis of reforms	A study of 31 African countries shows that best practice reforms are the most relevant in those African EEs with a context similar to those of developed countries
Ashraf and Uddin (2013)	FAM, 2013	Pakistan (Asia)	Theme 1	Critical realist theory	Single case study	Politicisation and strong group cohesion contributed to an independent position of the client organisation towards consultants' advice in a Pakistani consultancy project in the public sector, but the client organisation also used its discretion to reject the 'poor quality' advice of the consultancy firm
Awio and Northcott (2001)	IJPSM, 2001	Uganda (Africa)	Theme 2	No theory	Single case study	Budget decentralisation has the potential to create a context for improved budgeting practices in developing nations

Table A1 (Continued)

Author(s)	Journal (year)	Country	Management accounting themes	Theories	Methods	Main findings
Awio et al. (2007)	JAOC, 2007	Uganda (Africa)	Theme 2 and theme 5	No theory, implicitly social capital and NPM	Interpretive method, specifically hermeneutics	A study about community-led HIV/AIDS initiatives in Uganda showed that NPM elements can be useful in an EE context when supplemented by other devices, such as community-led initiatives that fit with the specifics of local needs
Bietenhader and Bergmann (2010)	IPMR, 2010	Multi-country, EEs in general	Theme 4 and theme 5	No theory	Literature review and interviews with experts	A literature review and feedback from experts indicated that a sequencing approach to public sector financial reform is helpful but universally applicable sequencing cannot be applied, and other factors, such as political elements and a long-term vision, are also important
Chiu (1997)	PAD, 1997	Malaysia (Asia)	Theme 2	No theory	Policy study based on official documents	Adoption of performance indicators and related targets for services as well as a citizens' charter in the Malaysian public sector
Chu and Rask (2002)	IA, 2002	China (Asia)	Theme 1 and theme 3	No theory	Reflection	Reforms in the Chinese healthcare sector over the last three decades are hybrid, pointing to a diminishing but still apparent role of the state in controlling health care organisations and growing autonomy in raising market income for these organisations; accounting systems increasingly resemble Western fund accounting systems
O'Connor et al. (2006)	AOS, 2006	China (Asia)	Theme 1 and theme 5	Agency theory	Survey	The impact of stronger market forces (such as market competition and export sales) on organisational design variables (such as objective performance measures and incentives) is mitigated by political constraints about the recruitment, promotion and dismissal of employees in Chinese SOEs
Dodoo (1997)	PAD, 1997	Ghana (Africa)	Theme 2	No theory	Policy study based on official documents	Participation of agencies in the design and implementation of performance contracts with the ministries in central government of Ghana is a main success facilitator
Dollery and Graves (2009)	PAD, 2009	South-Africa (Africa)	Theme 2	No theory	Case study, using documents	A compliance procedure for proper budgeting in a South-African municipality showed that budgeting did not improve, unlike the guidelines and help of experts
Firth (1996)	AOS, 1996	China (Asia)	Theme 1	Institutional theory and diffusions of innovation theory	Survey	Chinese SOEs that participated in foreign partnered joint ventures made more changes to their management accounting systems compared with similar Chinese companies which had no collaborative venture operations with foreign firms. These changes involved a move towards the accounting techniques employed by the joint venture
Hassan (2005)	JAOC, 2005	Egypt (Africa)	Theme 2	Institutional theory and Giddens on incremental versus disruptive change	Single case study	A new costing system in an Egyptian hospital formally wanted to improve cost awareness and quality of services but implicitly operated as a kind of hidden agenda, aimed to control the work of doctors in the hospital
Hassan (2008)	RAEE, 2008	Egypt (Africa)	Theme 2	Various strands of institutional theory	Single case study	Business-like governance forms as introduced in the Egyptian healthcare sector (including full-cost pricing) show tensions with traditional and

Table A1 (Continued)

Author(s)	Journal (year)	Country	Management accounting themes	Theories	Methods	Main findings
Hong (1991)	IJPSM, 1991	Singapore (Asia)	Theme 2	No theory	Single case study	still active governance forms of hierarchical steering within the healthcare chain and professional autonomy as well as public rationalities within a hospital (as shown by low fees for poor patients), leading to confusion for organisational members in a hospital, and affecting the way they use and misuse management accounting, especially costing information
Hoque and Hopper (1994)	MAR, 1994	Bangladesh (Asia)	Theme 2 and theme 3	Grounded theory	Single case study	Accounting in the public sector has progressed from a pure trusteeship function to a function of generating timely relevant information for various purposes
Hoque et al. (1994)	PAD, 1994	Bangladesh (Asia)	Theme 2 and theme 3	No theory	Single case study	Political volatility and acute economic problems render rational-legal authority controls ineffective, while in practice a variety of social/informal control mechanisms are used to cope with the complexity and uncertainty
Hoque and Hopper (1997)	ABR, 1997	Bangladesh (Asia)	Theme 1 and theme 5	Grounded theory and institutional theory	Single case study	The implementation of management control systems is highly politicised since it involves various levels of hierarchy within and above the organisation at the macro level. On the other hand, the role of agents at the micro level, who rely on social and personal controls rather than the official (formal) structure, may also be influential during implementation
Ireland et al. (2003)	PAD, 2003	No specific country; examines EEs in general	Theme 2	No theory	Documentary study using documents of donor organisations and of EEs	A significant relationship exists between environmental factors and budget-related behaviour. Political factors, industrial relations and market competition were major influences on how budgeting systems were perceived
Iyoha and Oyerinde (2010)	CPA, 2010	Nigeria (Africa)	Theme 4	No specific theory, pragmatic notions about accountability	Relying mainly on literature and policy documents	An examination of documents of donor organisations and EE indicates that donor organisations must reduce complexities in development programmes through simplifying their strategies, better mutual coordination and evidence-based practices
Johardji and Wiloughby (2014)	PAD, 2014	Saudi Arabia (Asia)	Theme 4	Other theories, especially public administration theories	Single case study	Unlike initiatives in the Nigerian public sector for strengthening accountability of public expenditures – such as auditing institutions and anti-corruption committees – accountability remains problematic as long as the accounting infrastructure functions poorly
Jones and Sefiane (1992)	AAAJ, 1992	Algeria (Africa)	Theme 1 and theme 3	No theory	Multiple case study	Traditional bottom-up budgeting results in overstating spending requirements of line ministries, which can be overcome by the introduction of expenditure ceilings for the ministries, based on a macro-economic framework and resulting in a medium-term-expenditure framework
						Accounting information of SOEs was prepared for external agencies and annual expenditure aggregation, and was not used to assist planning or

Table A1 (Continued)

Author(s)	Journal (year)	Country	Management accounting themes	Theories	Methods	Main findings
Khan et al. (1999)	PAD, 1999	Bangladesh (Asia)	Theme 4	No theory	Single case study	operational decision making and control A step-by-step approach showing successive success stories and participation of all relevant stakeholders is seen as crucial to the success of financial management reforms in the government of Bangladesh
Kholeif et al. (2007)	JAOC, 2007	Egypt (Africa)	Theme 1 and theme 3	Neo-institutional sociology (NIS)	Single case study	An enterprise resource planning (ERP) system failure was a result of inability to meet the core accounting requirements of the control authorities (the central agency for accountability transformation)
Li and Tang (2009)	MAR, 2009	China (Asia)	Theme 1 and theme 5	Stakeholder theory and accounting change theory	Single case study and action research	A stakeholder analysis enables the identification of relevant performance domains in the PMS design of a Chinese SOE; user participation and embeddedness in existing practices contribute to PMS design, while political constraints and data unavailability are hindrances (cultural values can be both a hindrance and a stimulus)
Lin and Yu (2002)	MAR, 2002	China (Asia)	Theme 1 and theme 5	Neo-Institutional Sociology (NIS)	Single case study	Copying successful Western accounting practices, such as cost control and responsibility accounting, due to market pressures, but rather than mechanically copied, adapted to the local context. An adequate incentive system, qualified managerial and accounting staff and a good balance between centralised and de-centralised operations are seen as main driving factors
Marwata and Alam (2006)	JAOC, 2006	Indonesia (Asia)	Theme 3 and theme 5	Extension of Lüder's Model of Government Accounting Innovations	Single case study	Supports the existing literature of political dominance during the formulation of accounting reforms. Also provides empirics of state-profession rivalry and alliances, i.e. while state holds bureaucratic power, professional logic competes with it; interagency cooperation can be seen as first phase of solving this problem
Maschmeyer and Yang (1990)	RTWA, 1990	China (Asia)	Theme 1 and theme 3	No theory	Not justified	Although, an ideal responsibility accounting system is difficult to maintain during the economic reform period, the role of management accounting system in China must expand and change with increasing responsibilities of enterprise management
Mimba et al. (2007)	JAOC, 2007	No specific country, EE in general	Theme 2 and theme 5	No theory, pragmatic ideas on demand/supply of performance measurement	Literature review	A literature review points to reforms leading to a desire for more performance information, but EE-specific factors like low institutional capacity and corruption are obstacles to fulfilling this desire
Mimba et al. (2013)	PAD, 2013	Indonesia (Asia)	Theme 2 and theme 5	Neo-Institutional Sociology	Multiple case studies at four agencies within two local governments	Case studies in Indonesian local government show that managers partly use performance information in a functional way, especially input performance indicators, while other performance indicators on outputs and outcomes are used symbolically
Mserembo and Hopper (2004)	RAEE, 2004	Malawi (Africa)	Theme 2 and theme 5	No theory	Literature review and documentary study	Unsuccessful implementation of PPBS in Malawi is a function of external factors (e.g. AIDS, refugees, drought

Table A1 (Continued)

Author(s)	Journal (year)	Country	Management accounting themes	Theories	Methods	Main findings
Neu et al. (2008)	AAAJ, 2008	Guatemala and Mexico (North and Mid-America)	Theme 2 and theme 5	Social theory on habitus, capital and players	Combination of documentary analysis (macro-level) and multiple case studies (micro-level)	and flood) and internal factors (lack of trained and adequately rewarded staff) Investigations in Guatemala and Mexico show that diffusion of budgeting and accounting practices is a matter of external influences such as direct or indirect impacts of World Bank loan requirements, but also agency matters, in the sense that at the local level discretionary decision making can be observed
Olowo-Okere and Tomkins (1998)	AAAJ, 1998	Nigeria (Africa)	Theme 2 and theme 5	No theory, pragmatic ideas on basics first approach	Policy study based on official documents	Sequencing of financial management is only observed in the very long term, while in the shorter term contextual circumstances, such as political instability, external pressure (from donors), and lack of commitment of political leaders, explain financial management reforms
Peterson (2011)	PAD, 2011	Ethiopia (Africa)	Theme 4	No theory, pragmatic ideas on basics first approach	Reflection, policy study based on official documents	Being modest about goals of financial management reform, but being risk-free in accomplishing these goals, is what matters. This plateau thinking builds on the existing context and domestic resources, not on what international donors see as desirable, but that turn out to be risky and irrelevant 'summits' of international best practice
Rahaman and Lawrence (2001a)	AF, 2001	Ghana (Africa)	Theme 2 and theme 6	Social theory, esp. theory of negotiated orders	Single case study	Analysis of accounting systems of the Volta River Authority (VRA) in Ghana going beyond technical matters to understand its socio-historic context which protected the interests of outside parties at the expense of the local population
Rahaman and Lawrence (2001b)	AAAJ, 2001	Ghana (Africa)	Theme 5	Social theory, esp. theory of negotiated orders	Single case study	Negotiated order has potential for exploring accounting systems, especially within a public sector setting, i.e. the accounting system construction, maintenance, and change in VRA are embedded in processes of negotiation
Rahaman et al. (2007)	AAAJ, 2007	Ghana (Africa)	Theme 1 and theme 6	Social theory, Bourdieu (human capital and fields) and Foucault (governmentality)	Single case study	A case study about water services in Ghana shows that debates about privatisation of water services in EE are influenced by powerful external donors, such as the World Bank, including their allies (consultants, government officials), and the accounting vocabulary is important for substantiating these debates
De Renzio (2006)	DPR, 2006	EEs in general	Theme 2 and theme 4	No theory	Literature review	A literature review concludes that international donors need to stimulate EEs' ownership of public sector financial reforms, and support domestic accountability of governmental programmes in these countries, by strengthening the roles of parliament, audit institutions and civil society
Roberts (2004)	DPR, 2004	Multi-country, seven countries in three continents	Theme 2 and theme 4	No explicit theory, embedded in empirical performance management literature	Multi-country comparison based on official documents	A multi country study of EEs indicates that informality and instability are hindrances to results-based public expenditure management, but with sufficient political commitment they

Table A1 (Continued)

Author(s)	Journal (year)	Country	Management accounting themes	Theories	Methods	Main findings
Saltmarshe et al. (2003)	PAD, 2003	EE in general, from perspective of overseas aid by UK ministry	Theme 2	No theory	Case study, although evidence relies mainly on other more general sources	may be valuable in programmes for alleviating poverty A good understanding of the interrelationships between various performance domains, such as goals, performance systems and assessment, contributes to a learning organisation
Scapens & Yan	MAR, 1993	China (Asia)	Theme 1 and theme 5	Agency theory and behavioural theory	Reflective study	There is a need for a China-specific accounting framework that combines knowledge of Western accounting techniques and the China-specific context of state planning and collectivist values
Serra (2005)	PAD, 2005	Chile (Latin America)	Theme 2	No theory, only an indication of taxing theories	Case study using documents and a DEA analysis	In order to avoid negative behavioural effects, performance measures should be closely linked to relevant goals in a tax office setting
Shafie (1996)	PAD, 1996	Malaysia (Asia)	Theme 2	No theory	Other, reflection	Adoption of performance-based appraisal system and a related performance-based reward system for employees in Malaysian public sector
Sharma and Lawrence (2005)	JAOC, 2005	Fiji (Australian Pacific)	Theme 2 and theme 6	Institutional theory and economic theory	Single case study	Global trends in public management informed by technical rational motives and enforced by institutional pressures (World Bank) lead to unaffordable tariffs for and insufficient supply of houses for the poor and thus are unable to meet local needs
Sharma and Lawrence (2008)	AAR, 2008	Fiji (Australian Pacific)	Theme 2 and theme 5	Institutional theory	Single case study	Changes of institutions in the postal and telecomm sector in Fiji can be understood by examining the role of institutional entrepreneurs and taking account of EE-specific political and cultural factors, such as commitments to tribes and regions
Sharma and Lawrence (2009)	PAR, 2009	Fiji (Australian Pacific)	Theme 2 and theme 6	Institutional theory	Multiple case study (two cases)	Due to pressures from international donor organisations, Fijian public sector enterprises in the housing and telecomm sectors needed to become more business-like, giving rise to fundamental changes in their accounting systems, resistance from workers and managers and negative effects for clients of these organisations
Sharma et al. (2012)	AH, 2012	Fiji (Australian Pacific)	Theme 2 and theme 5	No theory	Single case study	Cultural conflicts and political influences led to a new public management process being resisted and modified to reduce tension between economic and social relations in a Fiji telecomm company
Skousen and Yang (1998)	AOS, 1998	China (Asia)	Theme 1 and theme 3	No theory	Reflection	Reforms in China after 1978 led to more autonomy for SOEs, with an increasing importance of Western management accounting, but the state remained dominant in production and investment decisions, harming further economic improvement
Sulle (2010)	PAD, 2010	Tanzania (Africa)	Theme 2 and theme 5	No theory, some pragmatic NPM-theorising	Multi methods, including documentary analysis, a survey and interviews	The NPM-based agency idea is only partly realised in Tanzania, and especially results-based controls are largely absent, which is explained by historical and context-specific circumstances, such as traditions of

Table A1 (Continued)

Author(s)	Journal (year)	Country	Management accounting themes	Theories	Methods	Main findings
Tambulasi (2007)	JAOC, 2007	Malawi (Africa)	Theme 2 and theme 5	No theory, some theoretical ideas on NPM	Case/field study, mainly interviews with politicians and managers	centralised control and donor-dependent funding Based on a field study in the public sector in Malawi, it is argued that NPM-based financial management reforms lead to loss of political control and to control-regaining reactions like sabotage and corruption
Taylor (2007)	PAD, 2007	Multi-country, Taiwan, Hong Kong, Singapore (Asia)	Theme 2	Agency theory, theory on bounded rationality and Neo-Institutional theory used in reflectively	Survey among officials of public bodies to which agencies report on their performance	A multi-country study of public sector agencies in Taiwan, Hong Kong and Singapore shows that in general minor use is made of provided performance information, pointing to a mainly symbolic rather than instrumental use, i.e. to strengthen rational image
Tillema et al. (2010)	PAD, 2010	No specific country, EEs in general	Theme 2 and theme 5	Neo-Institutional theory	Literature review, including development of theoretical framework	A theoretical framework for the use of performance information in the public sector indicates that traditional financial performance indicators are core in EEs but, as a consequence of reforms (especially decentralisation, marketisation and anti-corruption programmes), new types of performance indicator on quantity and quality of services are increasingly important
Tooley et al. (2010)	FAM, 2010	Malaysia (Asia)	Theme 2	Stakeholder theory, mainly as an underlying way of reasoning	Survey	A survey of stakeholders of local authorities in Malaysia points to consensus among stakeholders about the relevance of a broad set of performance items (operational, financial, non-financial and future), but the public is less interested than internal stakeholders
Tsamenyi et al. (2002)	JAB, 2002	Ghana (Africa)	Theme 1	Contingency Theory	Multiple case study	Budgetary formulation is highly concentrated at top management and does not involve lower-level managers, even though these are the primary actors in the budgeting process. Moreover, budgets are perceived as a tool of resource allocation.
Tsamenyi et al. (2004)	JAB, 2002	Ghana (Africa)	Theme 1	No theory	Multiple case study	The study reveals that the most important purposes of budgets are perceived to be planning and control
Uddin and Hopper (2001)	AOS, 2001	Bangladesh (Asia)	Theme 1	Labour Process Theory	Single case study	The regime of control following privatisation produces coercive controls and new forms of despotism in post-colonial poor countries. Expectations of accounting reformers failed to materialise. The emergence of accounting systems that facilitate coercive controls must be seen in the context of the transformation of idealised market-based systems by production and state politics
Uddin and Hopper (2005)	AAAJ, 2005	Ghana (Africa)	Theme 1 and theme 6	Structuration theory (based on Giddens)	Single case study	A case study of a state-owned enterprise in Ghana criticises public sector reforms asking for competition, privatisation and limited government interventions for being too economically focused (at the expense of social benefits) and not taking account of politicised environments in many EE
Uddin et al. (2011)	AAAJ, 2011	Uganda (Africa)		Spectacle Theory	Single case Study	Participatory budgeting practices in Ugandan local governments are

Table A1 (Continued)

Author(s)	Journal (year)	Country	Management accounting themes	Theories	Methods	Main findings
			Theme 2 and theme 5			superficial and symbolic. Local government budgeting processes are, in fact, dominated by politicians and senior bureaucrats. Provides understanding of why donor agencies export ideas, including accounting practices and technologies
Upping and Oliver (2011)	IRBRP, 2011	No specific country, EE in general	Theme 4	Pragmatic theoretical frameworks on accounting change	Literature review and theoretical framework for accounting change	Building on existing accounting change models, a model for public sector accounting change in EEs is developed that distinguishes between external pressures, internal pressures, barriers and facilitators
Whiteman (2012)	IPMR, 2012	Multi-country, including 69 EEs	Theme 2	No theory, implicitly economic reasoning pertaining to efficiency	Documentary analysis of scores on financial system performance	A data envelopment analysis (DEA) of scores on financial system performance in 69 EEs shows that 13 out of 69 EEs achieve a maximum efficiency score of 100% on the performance of their public financial management systems, while the remaining countries are relatively inefficient, having scores ranging from 44% to 97%
Wickramasinghe et al. (2004)	AAAJ, 2004	Sri Lanka (Asia)	Theme 1 and theme 5	Grounded theory and Mode of Production theory	Single case study	New accounting and control regimes replaced bureaucratic management controls with positive commercial effects. However, the changes were reversed due to political interventions, partly in response to trade union opposition, via the regulatory system
Wickramasinghe and Hopper (2005)	CPA, 2005	Sri Lanka (Asia)	Theme 1 and theme 3	Cultural Political Economy of Management Accounting Control	Single case study	Successive attempts failed to impose conventional management accounting in a textile mill in a traditional Sinhalese village in Sri Lanka. Idealised rational management accounting control mechanisms were confronted with a traditional, rural culture based on kinship obligations
Xavier (1996)	FAM 1996	Malaysia (Asia)	Theme 3 and theme 5	Other theories, public administration theories	Single case study	Budgetary reform in Malaysian central government in the 1990s suffered from tension between the principles of rationality of the reform, including devolvement and performance-based budgeting, and the long lasting practice of budget line item scrutiny
Xu and Uddin (2008)	AF, 2008	China (Asia)	Theme 1 and theme 3	Burawoy's factory regimes and political economy of development	Single case study	The transformation of ownership is not a sufficient or essential condition for superior management controls, better performance or broader development goals
Zan and Xue (2011)	AAAJ, 2011	China (Asia)	Theme 5	No theory	Policy analysis and field study	Public sector accounting reforms in China are primarily on-paper reforms because they suffer from detachment from specific contexts; in addition, accounting practices are often not in line with formal reform guidelines

Abbreviated journals in this appendix: AAAJ = Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal; ABR = Accounting and Business Research; AF = Accounting Forum; AH = Accounting History; AOS = Accounting, Organizations and Society; AAR = Australian Accounting Review; AABFJ = Australasian Accounting Business & Finance Journal; CPA = Critical Perspectives on Accounting; DPR = Development Policy Review; FAM = Financial Accountability & Management; IPMR = International Public Management Review; IJ = International Accounting; IJPSM = International Journal of Public Sector Management; IRBRP = International Review of Business Research Papers; JAOC = Journal of Accounting and Organizational Change; JAB = Journal of African Business; PAD = Public Administration and Development; PAR = Pacific Accounting Review; RAEE = Research in Accounting in Emerging Economies; RTWA = Research in Third World Accounting. **Management accounting themes in this appendix:** theme 1 = Changing nature of management accounting in SOEs; theme 2 = Western influences on management accounting; theme 3 = State-led centralized controls; theme 4 = Sequencing of financial management; theme 5 = The impact of the EE-specific context on management accounting; theme 6 = Conflicting interests and values in management accounting practice.

References

- Abdul-Rahaman, A. S., Gallhofer, S., Haslam, J., & Lawrence, S. (1997). Public sector accounting and financial management in developing countries: a critical assessment of the literature. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 5(2), 38–65.
- ACCA (Association of Chartered Certified Accountants) (2010). *Improving public sector financial management in developing countries and emerging economies*. ACCA (Association of Chartered Certified Accountants). www.accaglobal.com/accountants_business.
- Adam, C. S., & Bevan, D. (2005). Fiscal deficits and growth in developing countries. *Journal of Public Economics*, 89, 571–597.
- Alawattage, C., Hopper, T., & Wickramasinghe, D. (2007). Introduction to management accounting in less developed countries. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 3(3), 183–191.
- Alawattage, C., & Wickramasinghe, D. (2008). Appearance of accounting in a political hegemony. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 19(3), 293–339.
- Alawattage, C., Wickramasinghe, D., & Tennakoon, A. (2014). Performing civil society. In Z. Hoque, & L. Parker (Eds.), *Performance management in nonprofit organizations: global perspectives* (pp. 394–418). London: Routledge.
- Alawattage, C., Graham, C., & Wickramasinghe, D. (2015). Microaccountability and biopolitics: microfinance in a Sri Lankan village. *Interdisciplinary perspectives on accounting conference* (pp. 1–48).
- Allen, R. (2009). The Challenge of reforming budgetary institutions in developing countries. *IMF working paper WP/09/96*. International Monetary Fund.
- Annisette, M. (2004). The true nature of the World Bank. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 15(2), 303–323.
- Ashraf, J., & Uddin, S. (2011). Review of management accounting control change with special reference to the public sector and less developed countries; a critical evaluation. In M. G. Abdel Kader (Ed.), *Review of management accounting research* London: Palgrave/McMillan Chapter 16.
- Ashraf, J., & Uddin, S. (2015). Military: 'managers' and hegemonies of management accounting controls: a critical realist interpretation. *Management Accounting Research*, 29, 13–26.
- Baldvinsdottir, G., Mitchell, F., & Nørreklit, H. (2010). Issues in the relationship between theory and practice in management accounting. *Management Accounting Research*, 20(2), 79–82.
- Baxter, J. J., & Chua, W. F. (2003). Alternative management accounting research—whence and whither. *Accounting, Organisations and Society*, 28(2–3), 97–126.
- Bebbington, A., Guggenheim, S., Olson, E., & Woolcock, M. (2004). Exploring social capital debates at the World Bank. *The Journal of Development Studies*, 40(5), 33–64.
- Broadbent, J., & Guthrie, J. (1992). Changes in the public sector; a review of recent alternative accounting research. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 5(2), 3–31.
- Broadbent, J., & Guthrie, J. (2008). Public sector to public services: 20 years of contextual accounting research. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 21(2), 129–169.
- Broadbent, J., & Laughlin, R. (2005). Organizational and accounting change: theoretical and empirical reflections and thoughts on a future research agenda. *Journal of Accounting and Organizational Change*, 1(1), 7–26.
- Burns, J., & Scapens, R. W. (2000). Conceptualizing management accounting change: an institutional framework. *Management Accounting Research*, 10(1), 1–19.
- Chua, W. F. (1986). Radical developments in accounting thought. *The Accounting Review*, 61(4), 601–632.
- Cuenca Botey, L. E., & Célérier, L. (2015). Participatory budgeting at a community level in Porto Alegre: a Bourdieusian interpretation. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 28(5), 739–772.
- Cunliffe, A. N. (2011). Crafting qualitative research: Morgan and Smircich 30 years on. *Organizational Research Methods*, 14, 647–673.
- Dasgupta, P., & Serageldin, I. (2001). *Social capital: a multifaceted perspective*. World Bank.
- Dean, P. N. (1988). Governmental financial management systems in developing nations. In J. L. Chan, & R. W. Jones (Eds.), *Governmental accounting and auditing: international comparisons* (pp. 149–174). New York: Routledge.
- Debord, G. (1967). *The Society of the Spectacle*, translation by Fredy Perlman and Jon Supak (Black & Red, 1970).
- Dillard, J. T., Rigsby, J. F., & Goodman, C. (2004). The making and remaking of organization context; duality and the institutionalization process. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 17(4), 506–542.
- Duval, A., Gendron, Y., & Roux-Dufort, C. (2015). Exhibiting nongovernmental organizations: reifying the performance discourse through framing power. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 29, 31–53.
- Enthoven, A. J. H. (1982). International management accounting: its scope and standards. *The International Journal of Accounting*, 17(2), 59–74.
- Everett, J., Neu, D., & Rahaman, A. S. (2007). Accounting and the global fight against corruption. *Accounting Organizations and Society*, 32, 513–542.
- Gendron, Y. (2000). Openness to context-based research: the gulf between the claims and actions of Big Six firms in the USA. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 13(2), 175–196.
- Gendron, Y., Cooper, D., & Townley, B. (2001). In the name of accountability state auditing, independence and new public management. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 14(3), 278–310.
- Gendron, Y. (2013). Rethinking the concept of research contribution. *Comptabilité–Contrôle–Audit*, 19(3), 1–20.
- Gharte, J. B. (1985). Accountability, the threshold of political instability and underdevelopment misery: the case of Africa. *The International Journal of Accounting and Education Research*, 21, 143–158.
- Goddard, A. (2010). Contemporary public sector accounting research; an international comparison of journal papers. *The British Accounting Review*, 42(2), 75–87.
- Golden-Biddle, K., & Locke, K. (2007). *Composing qualitative research*, 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Gruening, G. (2001). Origin and theoretical basis of new public management. *International Public Management Journal*, 4(1), 1–25.
- Harun, H., Van-Peursem, K., & IRC (2015). Indonesian public sector accounting reforms: dialogic aspirations a step too far? *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 28(5), 706–738.
- Harvey, D. (2005). *A brief history of neoliberalism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hofstede, G. (1984). *Cultural consequences: international differences in work-related values*. London: Sage.
- Hood, C. (1991). A public management for all seasons? *Public Administration*, 69, 3–19.
- Hood, C. (1995). The 'new public management' in the 1980: variations on a theme. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 20(2/3), 93–109.
- Hopper, T., & Bui, B. (2016). Has management accounting research been critical? *Management Accounting Research* in press.
- Hopper, T., Tsamenyi, M., Uddin, S., & Wickramasinghe, D. (2009). Management accounting in less developed countries: what is known and needs knowing. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 22(3), 469–514.
- Hopper, T., Ashraf, J., Uddin, S., & Wickramasinghe, D. (2014). Social theorisation of accounting: Challenges to positive research. In S. Jones (Ed.), *Routledge: Routledge Companions in Business, Management and Accounting*.
- Jacobs, K. (2012). Making sense of social practice: theoretical pluralism in public sector accounting research. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 28(1), 1–25.
- Jayasinghe, K., & Uddin, S. (2010). Continuity and change in WB development discourses and the rhetoric role of accounting. *Asia and Pacific interdisciplinary research in accounting conference proceedings*.
- Jayasinghe, K., & Wickramasinghe, D. (2011). Power over empowerment: encountering development accounting in a Sri Lankan fishing village. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 22(4), 396–414.
- Jayasinghe, K., & Thomas, D. (2009). Preservation of indigenous accounting systems in a subaltern community. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 22(3), 351–378.
- Lapsley, I. (2008). The NPM agenda: back to the future. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 24(1), 77–96.

- Lowe, A. D., & Locke, J. (2005). Perceptions of journal quality and research paradigm: results of a web-based survey of British accounting academics. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 30(1), 81–98.
- Manning, N. (2001). The legacy of new public management in developing countries. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 67(2), 297–312.
- Mawdsley, E., & Rigg, J. (2002). A survey of the world development reports I: discursive strategies. *Progress in Development Studies*, 2(2), 93–111.
- Mawdsley, E., & Rigg, J. (2003). The World development report II: continuity and change in development orthodoxies. *Progress in Development Studies*, 3(4), 271–286.
- Mitlin, D., Hickey, S., & Bebbington, A. (2007). Reclaiming development? NGOs and the challenge of alternatives. *World Development*, 35(10), 699–1720.
- Modell, S. (2009). Institutional research on performance measurement and management in the public sector accounting literature; a review and assessment. *Financial Accounting & Management*, 25(3), 277–303.
- Modell, S. (2014). The societal relevance of management accounting: an introduction to the special issue. *Accounting and Business Research*, 44(2), 83–103.
- Morales, J., Gendron, Y., & Guenin-Paracini, H. (2014). State privatization and the unrelenting expansion of neoliberalism: the case of the Greek financial crisis. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 25, 423–445.
- Ndzingi, S., & Briston, R. J. (1999). Accounting and economic development. *Research in Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 1, 29–42.
- Neu, D., Gomez, E. O., Ponce de Leon, O. G., & Zepeda, M. F. (2002). Facilitating globalisation processes: financial technologies and the World Bank. *Accounting Forum*, 26(3), 271–290.
- Neu, D., Gomez, E. O., Graham, C., & Heincke, M. (2006). Informing technologies and the World Bank. *Accounting Organisation and Society*, 31(7), 635–662.
- Neu, D., & Ocampo, E. (2007). Doing missionary work: the world bank and the diffusion of financial practices. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 18(3), 363–389.
- Parker, L. D. (2012). Qualitative management accounting research: assessing deliverables and relevance. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 23(1), 54–70.
- Peck, J. (2004). Geography and public policy: constructions of neoliberalism. *Progress in Human Geography*, 28, 392–405.
- Polidano, C. (1999). The new public management in developing countries. *Working paper no. 13*. Manchester: Institute for Development and Policy Management.
- Pollitt, C. (1995). Justification by works or by faith: evaluating the new public management. *Evaluation*, 1(2), 133–154.
- Pollitt, C. (2001). Convergence: the useful myth? *Public Administration*, 79(4), 933–947. 10.1111/1467-9299.00287.
- Pollitt, C., & Boukaert, G. (2011). *Public management reform: a comparative analysis*, 3rd ed. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Prahalad, C. K. (2006). *The fortune at the bottom of the pyramid*. Wharton School Pub..
- Putnam, R. D., Leonardi, R., & Nanetti, R. Y. (1994). *Making democracy work: civic traditions in modern Italy*. Princeton University Press.
- Reichard, C. (2004). New public managements als reformdoktrin für entwicklungsverwaltungen. *Institutionsbildung in regierung verwaltung*. Berlin: Festschrift für Klaus König, Benz u.a.613–629.
- Ryan, B., Scapens, R. W., & Theobald, M. (1995). *Research method and methodology in finance and accounting*. London: Academic Press.
- Santos, B. S. (1998). Participatory budgeting in Porto Alegre: toward a redistributive democracy. *Politics & Society*, 26(4), 461–510.
- Scapens, R. W. (1994). Never mind the gap: towards an institutional perspective on management accounting practice. *Management Accounting Research*, 5(3–4), 301–321.
- Scapens, R. W., & Bromwich, M. (2010). Management accounting research: 20 years on. *Management Accounting Research*, 21(4), 278–284.
- Schick, A. (1998). Why most developing countries should not try New Zealand reforms. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 13(1), 123–131.
- Seidler, L. J. (1967). International accounting: the ultimate theory course. *The Accounting Review*, 42(4), 775–781.
- Sharma, U., & Lawrence, S. (2015). Power, politics and privatization: a tale of a telecommunications company. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 28, 13–29.
- Stiglitz, J. E. (2002). *Globalisation and its discourses*. New York: W.W. Norton.
- Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. *British Journal of Management*, 14(2), 207–233.
- ter Bogt, H. J., van Helden, G. J., & van der Kolk, B. (2015). Challenging the NPM ideas about performance management—selectivity and differentiation in outcome-oriented performance budgeting. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 31(3), 287–315.
- van Helden, G. J. (2005). Researching public sector transformation: the role of management accounting. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 21(1), 99–133.
- van Helden, G. J., & Northcott, D. (2010). Examining the practical relevance of public sector management accounting research. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 26(2), 213–240.
- World Bank (1978). Development priorities, interdependencies and poverty, by region. *World development report*. Washington, D.C: World Bank.
- World Bank (1979). Employment, industrialization and urbanization. *World development report*. Washington, D.C: World Bank.
- World Bank (1981). National and international adjustment. *World development report*. Washington, D.C: World Bank.
- World Bank (1982). Agriculture and economic development. *World development report*. Washington, D.C: World Bank.
- World Bank (1998). Knowledge for development. *World development report*. Washington, D.C: World Bank.
- World Bank (1999). Entering the 21st century. *World development report*. Washington, D.C: World Bank.
- World Bank (2000). Attacking poverty. *World development report*. Washington, D.C: World Bank.
- World Bank (2003a). Sustainable development in a dynamic world: transforming institutions, growth and quality of life. *World development report*. Washington, D.C: World Bank.
- World Bank (2003b). Community driven development. *Poverty reduction strategy papers sourcebook*. Washington, D.C: World Bank.
- Yang, C. L., & Modell, S. (2015). Shareholder orientation and the framing of management control practices: a field study in a Chinese state-owned enterprise. *Accounting Organizations and Society*, 45(1), 1–23.

References to the reviewed papers

- Adhikari, P., Kuruppu, C., & Matilal, S. (2013). Dissemination and institutionalization of public sector accounting reforms in less developed countries: a comparative study of the Nepalese and Sri Lankan governments. *Accounting Forum*, 37(3), 313–230.
- Alam, M., & Lawrence, S. (1994). Institutional aspects of budgetary processes: a case study in a developing country. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 2(1), 45–62.
- Alam, M. (1997). Budgetary process in uncertain contexts: a study of state-owned enterprises in Bangladesh. *Management Accounting Research*, 8(2), 147–167.
- Alam, M., Lawrence, S., & Nandan, R. (2004). Accounting for economic development in the context of post-colonialism: the Fijian experience. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 15(1), 135–157.
- Andrews, M. (2006). Beyond 'best practice' and 'basics first' in performance budgeting reform. *Public Administration and Development*, 26(3), 147–161.
- Andrews, M. (2012). The logical limits of best practice administrative solutions in developing countries. *Public Administration and Development*, 32(2), 137–153.
- Ashraf, J., & Uddin, S. (2013). A consulting giant; a disgruntled client: a failed attempt to change management controls in a public sector organization. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 29(2), 186–205.
- Awio, G., & Northcott, D. (2001). Decentralization and budgeting: the Uganda health sector experience. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 14(1), 75–88.
- Awio, G., Lawrence, S., & Northcott, D. (2007). Community-led initiatives: reforms for better accountability. *Journal of Accounting and Organizational Change*, 3(3), 209–226.

- Bietenhader, D., & Bergmann, A. (2010). Principle for sequencing public financial reforms in developing countries. *International Public Management Review*, 11(1). <http://www.ipmr.net>.
- Chiu, N. K. (1997). Service targets and methods of redress: the impact of accountability in Malaysia. *Public Administration and Development*, 17(1), 175–180.
- Chu, D. K. W., & Rask, K. J. (2002). The transformation of China's health care system and accounting methods: current reforms and developments. *International Accounting*, 15, 13–43.
- de Renzio, P. (2006). Aid, budgets and accountability: a survey article. *Development Policy Review*, 24(6), 627–645.
- Dollery, B., & Graves, N. (2009). An analysis of budget compliance measurement in South-African local government best-practice financial management technical assistance programs, 2001–2003. *Public Administration and Development*, 29(2), 101–116.
- Dodoo, R. (1997). Performance standards and measuring performance in Ghana. *Public Administration and Development*, 17(2), 115–121.
- Firth, M. (1996). The diffusion of managerial accounting procedures in the people's republic of China and the influence of foreign partnered joint ventures. *Accounting, Organizations & Society*, 21(7–8), 629–654.
- Hassan, M. K. (2005). Management accounting and organizational change: an institutional perspective. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 1(2), 125–140.
- Hassan, M. K. (2008). The corporate governance inertia: the role of management accounting and costing systems in a transitional public health organization. *Research in Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 8, 409–454.
- Hong, H. K. (1991). Management accounting in the public sector. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 4(3), 5–17.
- Hoque, Z., & Hopper, T. (1994). Rationality, accounting and politics: a case study of management control in a Bangladeshi jute mill. *Management Accounting Research*, 5(1), 5–30.
- Hoque, Z., Siddiquee, N. A., & Hopper, T. (1994). Managing organizations in the third world: a case study of management control in a Bangladeshi jute mill. *Public Administration and Development*, 14(5), 421–437.
- Hoque, Z., & Hopper, T. (1997). Political and industrial relations turbulence, competition and budgeting in the nationalised jute mills of Bangladesh. *Accounting and Business Research*, 27(2), 125–143.
- Ireland, M. J., McGregor, A., & Saltmarshe, D. (2003). Challenges for donor agency country-level performance assessment: a review. *Public Administration and Development*, 23(5), 419–431.
- Iyoha, F. O., & Oyerinde, D. (2010). Accounting infrastructure and accountability in the management of public expenditure in developing countries: a focus on Nigeria. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 21(4), 361–373.
- Johardji, G., & Willoughby, J. (2014). The Saudi Arabian budgeting system: an institutional assessment. *Public Administration and Development*, 34(1), 63–80.
- Jones, C. S., & Sefiane, S. (1992). The use of accounting data in operational decision making in Algeria. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 5(4), 71–83.
- Khan, Z. M., Thornton, N., & Frazer, M. (1999). Experiences of financial reform projects in Bangladesh. *Public Administration and Development*, 20(1), 33–42.
- Kholeif, A. O., Abdel-Kader, M., & Sherer, C. (2007). ERP customization failure: institutionalized accounting practices, power relations and market forces. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 3(3), 250–269.
- Li, P., & Tang, G. (2009). Performance measurement design within its organizational context—evidence from China. *Management Accounting Research*, 20(2), 193–207.
- Lin, A. L., & Yu, Z. (2002). Responsibility cost control system in China: a case of management accounting application. *Management Accounting Research*, 13(4), 447–467.
- Marwata, & Alam, M. (2006). The interaction amongst reform drivers in governmental accounting changes: the case of Indonesian local government. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 2(2), 144–163.
- Maschmeyer, R., & Yang, J. (1990). Responsibility accounting during the economic transformation in the People's Republic of China. *Research in Third World Accounting*, 1, 141–156.
- Mimba, S. H. N. P., van Helden, G. J., & Tillema, S. (2007). Public sector performance measurement in developing countries: a literature review and research agenda. *Journal of Accounting and Organizational Change*, 3(3), 192–208.
- Mimba, S. H. N. P., van Helden, G. J., & Tillema, S. (2013). The design and use of performance information in Indonesian local governments under diverging stakeholder pressure. *Public Administration and Development*, 30(2), 115–124.
- Mserembo, P. K., & Hopper, T. (2004). Public sector financial reform in Malawi: PPBS in a poor country. *Research on Accounting in Emerging Economies, Supplement 2*, 359–383.
- Neu, D., Silva, L., & Ocampo Gomez, E. (2008). Diffusing financial practices in Latin American higher education; understanding the intersection between global influence and the local context. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 21(1), 49–77.
- O'Connor, N. G., Deng, J., & Luo, Y. (2006). Political constraints, organization design and performance measurement in China's State-owned enterprises. *Accounting Organizations and Society*, 31(2), 157–177.
- Olowo-Okere, E., & Tomkins, C. (1998). Understanding the evolution of governmental financial control systems. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 11(3), 309–333.
- Peterson, S. P. (2011). Plateaus not summits: reforming public financial management in Africa. *Public Administration and Development*, 31(3), 205–213.
- Rahaman, A. S., & Lawrence, S. (2001a). Public sector accounting and financial management in a developing country organizational context; a three-dimensional view. *Accounting Forum*, 25(2), 189–210.
- Rahaman, A. S., & Lawrence, S. (2001b). A negotiated order perspective on public sector accounting and financial control. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 14(2), 147–165.
- Rahaman, A. S., Everett, J., & Neu, D. (2007). Accounting and the move to privatize water services in Africa. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 20(5), 637–670.
- Roberts, J. (2004). Managing development for results; a role for results-oriented expenditure management. *Development Policy Review*, 22(6), 62–651.
- Saltmarshe, D., Ireland, M., & McGregor, J. A. (2003). The performance framework: a systems approach to understanding performance management. *Public Administration and Development*, 23(5), 445–456.
- Scapens, R. W., & Yan, M. (1993). Management accounting research in China. *Management Accounting Research*, 4(3), 321–341.
- Serra, P. (2005). Performance measures in tax administration: Chile as a case study. *Public Administration and Development*, 25(2), 115–124.
- Shafie, H. B. (1996). Malaysia's experience in implementing the new performance appraisal system. *Public Administration and Development*, 16(4), 341–352.
- Sharma, U., & Lawrence, S. (2005). Public sector reform, global trends, vs. local needs: the case of a state rental organization in Fiji. *Journal of Accounting and Organizational Change*(2), 141–164.
- Sharma, U., & Lawrence, S. (2008). Stability and change at FPTL: an institutional perspective. *Australian Accounting Review*, 18(4), 25–34.
- Sharma, U., & Lawrence, S. (2009). Global remedies for local needs: corporate governance and public sector reform in Fiji. *Pacific Accounting Review*, 21(3), 260–285.
- Sharma, U., Lawrence, S., & Fowler, C. (2012). New public management and accounting in a Fiji telecommunications company. *Accounting History*, 17(3–4), 331–349.
- Skousen, C. R., & Yang, J.-L. (1998). Western management accounting and economic reforms in China. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 13(2), 201–206.
- Sulle, A. (2010). The application of new public management doctrines in the developing world; an exploratory study of the autonomy and control of executive agencies in Tanzania. *Public Administration and Development*, 30(5), 345–354.
- Tambulasi, R. I. C. (2007). Who is fooling who? New Public Management-oriented management accounting and political control in Malawi's local governance. *Journal of Accounting and Organizational Change*, 3(3), 302–328.
- Taylor, J. (2007). The usefulness of key performance indicators to public accountability authorities in East Asia. *Public Administration and Development*, 27(4), 341–352.

- Tillema, S., Mimba, S. H. N. P., & van Helden, G. J. (2010). The changing role of public sector performance measurement in less developed countries. *Public Administration and Development*, 3(3), 210–214.
- Tooley, S., Hooks, J., & Basnan, N. (2010). Performance reporting by Malaysian local authorities; identifying stakeholder needs. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 26(2), 103–133.
- Tsamenyi, M., Mills, J., & Tauringana, V. (2002). A field study of the budgeting process and the perceived usefulness of the budget in organizations in a developing country—the case of Ghana. *Journal of African Business*, 3(2), 85–103.
- Tsamenyi, M., Bennett, M., & Black, J. (2004). Perceived purposes of budgets in organizations in a developing country. *Journal of African Business*, 5(1), 73–92.
- Uddin, S., & Hopper, T. (2001). A Bangladesh soap opera: privatisation, accounting, and regimes of control in a less developed country. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 26(7–8), 643–672.
- Uddin, S., & Tsamenyi, M. (2005). Public sector reforms and the public interest; a case study of accounting control changes and performance monitoring in a Ghanaian state-owned enterprise. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 8(5), 648–674.
- Uddin, S., Gumb, B., & Kasumba, S. (2011). Trying to make the typologies of the spectacle operational: a literature review and a case study. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 24(3), 288–314.
- Upping, P., & Oliver, J. (2011). Accounting change model for the public sector: adapting Lüder's model for developing countries. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 7(1), 364–380.
- Whiteman, J. L. (2012). The efficiency of developing countries public financial management systems. *International Public Management Review*, 13(2), 66–84.
- Wickramasinghe, D., Hopper, T., & Rathnasiri, C. (2004). Japanese cost management meets Sri Lankan politics: disappearance and reappearance of bureaucratic management controls in a privatised utility. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 17(1), 85–120.
- Wickramasinghe, D., & Hopper, T. (2005). A cultural political economy of management accounting controls: a case study of a textile mill in a traditional Sinhalese village. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 16(4), 473–503.
- Xavier, J. A. (1996). Budgetary control and management at the Malaysian central budget process—principles and practice. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 12(4), 303–325.
- Xu, W., & Uddin, S. (2008). Public sector reforms, privatisation and regimes of control in a Chinese enterprise. *Accounting Forum*, 32(2), 162–177.
- Zan, L., & Xue, Q. (2011). Budgeting China; macro-policies and micro practices in public sector changes. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 24(1), 38–62.